The ideal structure of semigroups of linear transformations with lower bounds on their nullity or defect

Suzana Mendes-Gonçalves

Centro de Matemática, Universidade do Minho, 4710 Braga, Portugal

and

R. P. Sullivan

School of Mathematics & Statistics University of Western Australia, Nedlands 6009, Australia

Abstract

Suppose V is an infinite-dimensional vector space and let T(V) denote the semigroup (under composition) of all linear transformations of V. In this paper, we study the semigroup OM(p,q) consisting of all $\alpha \in T(V)$ for which dim $\ker \alpha \geq q$ and the semigroup OE(p,q) of all $\alpha \in T(V)$ for which codim $\operatorname{ran} \alpha \geq q$, where dim $V = p \geq q \geq \aleph_0$. It is not difficult to see that OM(p,q) and OE(p,q) are a right and a left ideal of T(V), respectively, and using these facts we show that they belong to the class of all semigroups whose sets of bi-ideals and quasi-ideals coincide. Also, we describe the Green's relations and the two-sided ideals of each semigroup, and we determine its maximal regular subsemigroup. Finally, we determine some maximal right cancellative subsemigroups of OE(p,q).

AMS Primary Classification: 20M20; Secondary: 15A04.

Keywords: bi-ideal, quasi-ideal, linear transformation semigroup, maximal regular, maximal right cancellative

Proposed Running Head: Ideals in linear transformation semigroups

The authors acknowledge the support of the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) through the research program POCTI.

1. Introduction

Suppose V is a vector space over a field F with dimension $p \geq \aleph_0$ and let T(V) denote the semigroup (under composition) of all linear transformations from V into itself. Given $\alpha \in T(V)$, we write $\ker \alpha$ and $\operatorname{ran} \alpha$ for the *kernel* and the *range* of α , respectively, and put

$$n(\alpha) = \dim \ker \alpha, \ r(\alpha) = \dim \operatorname{ran} \alpha, \ d(\alpha) = \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{ran} \alpha.$$

As usual, these cardinals are called the *nullity*, the rank and the defect of α , respectively.

In [7], the authors considered the semigroups $AM(p,q) = \{\alpha \in T(V) : n(\alpha) < q\}$ and $AE(p,q) = \{\alpha \in T(V) : d(\alpha) < q\}$, where $p \ge q \ge \aleph_0$, and they showed that they do not belong to **BQ**, the class of all semigroups whose sets of bi-ideals and quasi-ideals coincide. For each semigroup, they described its maximal regular subsemigroup and characterised its Green's relations and ideals. Also, they determined all the maximal right simple subsemigroups of AM(p,q).

In this paper, we study related semigroups defined as follows. For each cardinal q such that $\aleph_0 \leq q \leq p$, we write

$$OM(p,q) = \{ \alpha \in T(V) : n(\alpha) \ge q \}$$
 and $OE(p,q) = \{ \alpha \in T(V) : d(\alpha) \ge q \}.$

Clearly, $0 \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$, where 0 denotes the zero map on V. In [5] Theorem 3.3, Kemprasit and Namnak showed that $OE(p,\aleph_0)$ is in \mathbf{BQ} and in [8] Theorem 3.4, they proved that $OM(p,\aleph_0) \in \mathbf{BQ}$. In section 2, we generalise these results: we show that OM(p,q) and OE(p,q) are a right and a left ideal of T(V), respectively, and using this, we conclude that OM(p,q) and OE(p,q) are always in \mathbf{BQ} . Also, we characterise the regular elements of each semigroup and determine its unique maximal regular subsemigroup. In section 3, we describe the Green's relations and ideals in OM(p,q) and OE(p,q).

In [6] Mendes-Gonçalves considered the semigroup KN(p,q) of all injective elements of OE(p,q). In section 4, we prove that KN(p,q) is a maximal right cancellative subsemigroup of OE(p,q). Moreover, we show that OE(p,q) admits other maximal right cancellative subsemigroups.

2. Basic properties

In what follows, if Y is a disjoint union of A and B, we write $Y = A \dot{\cup} B$, and id_Y denotes the identity transformation on Y.

As an abbreviation, we write $\{e_i\}$ to denote a subset $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ of V, taking as understood that the subscript i belongs to some (unmentioned) index set I. The subspace A of V generated by a linearly independent subset $\{e_i\}$ of V is denoted by $\langle e_i \rangle$, and we write dim A = |I|.

We adopt the convention introduced in [10]. That is, often it is necessary to define some $\alpha \in T(V)$ by first choosing a basis $\{e_i\}$ for V and some $\{a_i\} \subseteq V$, and then letting $e_i\alpha = a_i$ for each i and extending this action by linearity to the whole of V. To

abbreviate matters, we simply say, given $\{e_i\}$ and $\{a_i\}$ within context, that $\alpha \in T(V)$ is defined by letting

 $\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{c} e_i \\ a_i \end{array}\right).$

It is easily verified that if $\alpha, \beta \in T(V)$, then $\ker \alpha \subseteq \ker(\alpha\beta)$ and $\operatorname{ran}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}\beta$. Thus, $n(\alpha) \leq n(\alpha\beta)$ and $d(\beta) \leq d(\alpha\beta)$, and these imply that the sets OM(p,q) and OE(p,q), as defined above, are subsemigroups of T(V). In fact, we may conclude that OM(p,q) is a right ideal of T(V) and OE(p,q) is a left ideal of T(V). In passing, we observe that OM(p,q) and OE(p,q) are semigroups even if q is finite.

A subsemigroup Q of a semigroup S is called a *quasi-ideal* of S if $SQ \cap QS \subseteq Q$. A subsemigroup B of S is a *bi-ideal* of S if $BSB \subseteq B$. Clearly, every right and every left ideal of S is a quasi-ideal, and every quasi-ideal Q of a semigroup S is a bi-ideal of S (since $QSQ \subseteq SQ \cap QS$). We denote the quasi-ideal and the bi-ideal generated by a non-empty subset X of S by $(X)_Q$ and $(X)_B$, respectively. If $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ then we write $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)_Q$ and $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)_B$ instead of $(\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\})_Q$ and $(\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\})_B$, respectively. By [1] Vol. 1, pp. 84-85, Exercises 15 and 17, if X is a non-empty subset of a semigroup S, then

$$(X)_Q = S^1X \cap XS^1 = (SX \cap XS) \cup X$$
, and $(X)_B = (XS^1X) \cup X = XSX \cup X \cup X^2$.

It is known that regular semigroups, right [left] simple semigroups and right [left] 0-simple semigroups are in the class **BQ** of all semigroups whose sets of bi-ideals and quasi-ideals coincide (see [4] Propositions 1.2 and 1.3). The following result and its dual extend this remark: it can be used to simplify some of the arguments in [4], [5] and [8].

Lemma 1. If S is a regular semigroup, then any right ideal R of S belongs to \mathbf{BQ} .

Proof. Suppose S is a regular semigroup and let R be a right ideal of S. Let X be a non-empty subset of R. We know that $(X)_B \subseteq (X)_Q$ always. We assert that $(X)_Q \subseteq (X)_B$. Let $a \in RX \cap XR$. Then, there exist $b, c \in R$ and $s, t \in X$ such that a = bs = tc. Since S is regular, s = sxs for some $x \in S$. Since R is a right ideal of S, $cx \in R$. Therefore, $a = bs = b(sxs) = (bs)xs = (tc)xs = t(cx)s \in XRX$. Hence, $RX \cap XR \subseteq XRX$ and so $(X)_Q = R^1X \cap XR^1 \subseteq XRX \cup X \cup X^2 = (X)_B$. Thus, $(X)_B = (X)_Q$ for every non-empty subset X of R and so $R \in \mathbf{BQ}$.

As mentioned before, OM(p,q) and OE(p,q) are a right and a left ideal of T(V), respectively. Moreover, by [1] Vol. 1, p. 57, Exercise 6, the semigroup T(V) is regular. Hence, by the above result and its dual, OM(p,q) and OE(p,q) are always in **BQ**. We shall see that OM(p,q) and OE(p,q) are not regular semigroups and neither right 0-simple nor left 0-simple.

In [9], Namnak and Kemprasit considered the semigroup $OM(p,\aleph_0) \cap OE(p,\aleph_0)$, and they showed that this is a regular subsemigroup of T(V) and hence belongs to **BQ**. The next result extends their work by determining all the regular elements of OM(p,q).

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in OM(p,q)$. Then, α is regular if and only if $\alpha \in OE(p,q)$. Consequently, $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ is the largest regular subsemigroup of OM(p,q).

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and let $\{e_j\}$ be a basis for $\ker \alpha$ with $|J| = n(\alpha) \geq q$. Expand $\{e_j\}$ to a basis $\{e_j\} \dot{\cup} \{e_i\}$ for V and write $e_i\alpha = a_i$ for each i. Then, $\{a_i\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{ran} \alpha$ and it can be expanded to a basis for V, say $\{a_i\} \dot{\cup} \{a_k\}$, where $|K| = d(\alpha) \geq q$. Define $\beta \in T(V)$ by

$$\beta = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_i & a_k \\ e_i & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Then, $n(\beta) = \dim \langle a_k \rangle = d(\alpha) \ge q$ and $d(\beta) = \dim \langle e_j \rangle = n(\alpha) \ge q$, and hence $\beta \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$. Also, $\alpha \beta \alpha = \alpha$ and so α is regular in OM(p,q).

Conversely, suppose $\alpha \in OM(p,q)$ and $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ for some $\beta \in OM(p,q)$. Then $d(\alpha) = d(\alpha(\beta\alpha)) \geq d(\beta\alpha)$. Since $\beta\alpha$ is idempotent, it follows that $d(\beta\alpha) = n(\beta\alpha) \geq n(\beta) \geq q$. Hence $\alpha \in OE(p,q)$ as required. Also, if S is a regular subsemigroup of OM(p,q), then it is contained in OE(p,q). Therefore, $S \subseteq OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and the latter is the largest regular subsemigroup of OM(p,q).

We now determine all regular elements of OE(p,q).

Theorem 2. Let α in OE(p,q). Then, α is regular if and only if $\alpha \in OM(p,q)$. Consequently, $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ is the largest regular subsemigroup of OE(p,q).

Proof. By Theorem 1, if $\alpha \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ then there exists some $\beta \in OM(p,q)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ and $\beta = \beta\alpha\beta$, and this implies $\beta \in OE(p,q)$ (again, by Theorem 1). In other words, every $\alpha \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ is a regular element of OE(p,q). Conversely, suppose $\alpha \in OE(p,q)$ and $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ for some $\beta \in OE(p,q)$. Then, $n(\alpha) = n((\alpha\beta)\alpha) \geq n(\alpha\beta)$. Also $\alpha\beta$ is an idempotent in T(V), hence $V = \ker(\alpha\beta) \oplus \operatorname{ran}(\alpha\beta)$ and, since OE(p,q) is closed, it follows that $n(\alpha) \geq n(\alpha\beta) = d(\alpha\beta) \geq d(\beta) \geq q$. Therefore, $\alpha \in OM(p,q)$ as required. Clearly, every regular subsemigroup of OE(p,q) is contained in $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$, hence this semigroup is the largest regular subsemigroup of OE(p,q).

3. Green's relations and ideals

It is well-known that if $\alpha, \beta \in T(V)$, then $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ if and only if ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$, $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ if and only if $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$, and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ (see [1] Vol. 1, Exercise 2.2.6.). In this section, we characterise Green's relations on the semigroups OM(p,q) and OE(p,q): although the \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{J} relations on OM(p,q) and the \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{J} relations on OE(p,q) can be described just like the corresponding ones on T(V), the other Green's relations differ substantially from the corresponding ones on T(V).

We begin with analogues of [7] Lemmas 2 and 3, respectively: the proofs of those Lemmas hold verbatim for the present situation but, for completeness, we include proofs for the next two results.

Lemma 2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p, q)$. Then $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ if and only if ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p, q)$ and suppose $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$. Then, $\beta = \lambda \alpha$ and $\alpha = \mu \beta$, for some $\lambda, \mu \in OM(p, q)^1$. Therefore, ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran}(\mu \beta) \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \beta$ and ran $\beta = \operatorname{ran}(\lambda \alpha) \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \alpha$, hence ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$.

Conversely suppose $\alpha \neq \beta$ and ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$, and let $\{e_j\}$ be a basis for ker β . Expand $\{e_j\}$ to a basis $\{e_j\} \cup \{e_i\}$ for V and write $e_i\beta = b_i$ for each i. Then, $\{b_i\}$ is a basis for ran $\beta = \operatorname{ran} \alpha$. For every i, choose $f_i \in b_i \alpha^{-1}$. Clearly, $\{f_i\}$ is linearly independent. Now define $\lambda \in T(V)$ by

$$\lambda = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & f_i \end{array} \right).$$

Since $\ker \lambda = \ker \beta$, it follows that $\lambda \in OM(p,q)$. Also, $\beta = \lambda \alpha$. Similarly, we conclude that there exists $\mu \in OM(p,q)$ such that $\alpha = \mu \beta$, and so $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$.

Lemma 3. Let $\alpha, \beta \in OE(p, q)$. Then $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ if and only if $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in OE(p, q)$ are such that $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$. Then, $\alpha = \beta \lambda$ and $\beta = \alpha \mu$, for some $\lambda, \mu \in OE(p, q)^1$. Thus, $\ker \alpha \subseteq \ker(\alpha \mu) = \ker \beta$ and $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker(\beta \lambda) = \ker \alpha$, and so $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$.

Conversely, suppose $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$. Let $\{e_j\}$ be a basis for $\ker \alpha$ and expand it to a basis $\{e_j\} \dot{\cup} \{e_i\}$ for V. For each i, write $e_i\alpha = a_i$ and $e_i\beta = b_i$. Clearly, $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ are bases for ran α and ran β , respectively. Now expand $\{b_i\}$ to a basis for V, say $\{b_i\} \dot{\cup} \{b_\ell\}$, and define $\lambda \in T(V)$ by

$$\lambda = \left(\begin{array}{cc} b_{\ell} & b_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{array}\right).$$

Since $d(\lambda) = d(\alpha)$, it follows that $\lambda \in OE(p, q)$. Also, $\alpha = \beta \lambda$. Similarly, expand $\{a_i\}$ to a basis $\{a_i\} \cup \{a_k\}$ for V and define $\mu \in T(V)$ by

$$\mu = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_k & a_i \\ 0 & b_i \end{array}\right).$$

Clearly, $d(\mu) = d(\beta)$ and so $\mu \in OE(p, q)$. Also, $\beta = \alpha \mu$. Hence, α, β are \mathcal{R} -related in OE(p, q).

Next, we characterise the \mathcal{R} -relation on OM(p,q). To do this, we need [7] Lemma 4 which we quote below for convenience.

Lemma 4. If $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in T(V)$ satisfy $\alpha = \beta \lambda$ then

$$d(\beta) \le n(\lambda) + \dim(\operatorname{ran} \lambda / \operatorname{ran} \alpha).$$

In fact, if we also have $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$, then $d(\beta) = n(\lambda) + \dim(\operatorname{ran} \lambda / \operatorname{ran} \alpha)$.

Lemma 5. Let $\alpha \in OM(p,q)$ and denote the \mathcal{R} -class of OM(p,q) containing α by R_{α} . Then,

- (a) $\alpha \notin OE(p,q)$ implies $R_{\alpha} = {\alpha};$
- (b) $\alpha \in OE(p,q)$ implies $R_{\alpha} = \{\beta \in OM(p,q) : \beta \in OE(p,q) \text{ and } \ker \beta = \ker \alpha\}.$

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \notin OE(p,q)$ and $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in OM(p,q) for some $\beta \neq \alpha$. Then, $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$ and $\beta = \alpha \mu$ for some $\mu \in OM(p,q)$. Thus, we have $d(\alpha) < q$ and $n(\mu) \geq q$, and by Lemma 4 we have a contradiction: namely, $d(\alpha) = n(\mu) + \dim(\operatorname{ran} \mu / \operatorname{ran} \beta) \geq q$.

To see that (b) holds, suppose $\alpha \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ in OM(p,q). Then, as usual, this implies $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$. Moreover, if $\beta \notin OE(p,q)$ then (a) implies $\alpha \in R_{\alpha} = R_{\beta} = \{\beta\}$, hence $\alpha = \beta$, contradicting our supposition.

Conversely, suppose $\beta \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and $\ker \beta = \ker \alpha$. Since $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ is a regular subsemigroup of OE(p,q) (by Theorem 2), Hall's Theorem ([2], Proposition II.4.5) implies that the \mathcal{R} -relation on $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ is the restriction of the \mathcal{R} -relation on OE(p,q) to $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$. In other words, since $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$, we deduce from Lemma 3 that $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and hence $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in OM(p,q). That is, $\beta \in R_{\alpha}$ as required. \square

As observed above, the \mathcal{L} -relations on OM(p,q) and on AM(p,q) have identical characterisations (compare Lemma 2 and [7] Lemma 2), but the same does not happen for the \mathcal{R} -relations on these two semigroups (compare the previous Lemma and [7] Lemma 6).

Analogously, it is easy to see the similarity between the characterisations of the \mathcal{R} relations on OE(p,q) and on AE(p,q) (compare Lemma 3 and [7] Lemma 3), but there
is a substantial difference between the characterisations of the \mathcal{L} -relations on these
semigroups. As before, in order to describe the \mathcal{L} -relation on OE(p,q) we need [7]
Lemma 7 (quoted below) as a preliminary Lemma.

Lemma 6. If $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in T(V)$ satisfy $\alpha = \lambda \beta$, then

$$n(\beta) \le d(\lambda) + \dim(\ker \alpha / \ker \lambda).$$

In fact, if ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$ then $n(\beta) = d(\lambda) + \dim(\ker \alpha / \ker \lambda)$.

Lemma 7. Let $\alpha \in OE(p,q)$ and denote the \mathcal{L} -class of OE(p,q) containing α by L_{α} . Then,

- (a) $\alpha \notin OM(p,q)$ implies $L_{\alpha} = {\alpha}$;
- (b) $\alpha \in OM(p,q)$ implies $L_{\alpha} = \{ \beta \in OE(p,q) : \beta \in OM(p,q) \text{ and } \operatorname{ran} \beta = \operatorname{ran} \alpha \}.$

Proof. First suppose $\alpha \notin OM(p,q)$. If $\beta \in OE(p,q)$ is such that $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ and $\beta \neq \alpha$, then there exist $\lambda, \mu \in OE(p,q)$ such that $\alpha = \lambda \beta$ and $\beta = \mu \alpha$, and so ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$. By Lemma 6, we have $q > n(\alpha) = d(\mu) + \dim(\ker \beta / \ker \mu) \geq q$, a contradiction. Thus, (a) holds.

To see that (b) holds, suppose $\alpha \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and $\alpha \not \in \beta$ in OE(p,q). Then, as usual, this implies ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$. Moreover, if $\beta \notin OM(p,q)$ then (a) implies $\alpha \in L_{\alpha} = L_{\beta} = \{\beta\}$, hence $\alpha = \beta$, contradicting our supposition.

Now suppose $\beta \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and ran $\beta = \operatorname{ran} \alpha$. Since $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ is a regular subsemigroup of OM(p,q), Hall's Theorem implies that the \mathcal{L} -relation on $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ is the restriction of the \mathcal{L} -relation on OM(p,q) to $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$. In other words, since $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$, we deduce from Lemma 2 that $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in $OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and hence $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in OE(p,q). That is, $\beta \in L_{\alpha}$ as required.

We proceed to describe the \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{J} relations on OM(p,q), and the characterisation of its ideals follows from this.

Theorem 3. If $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p, q)$ then $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ in OM(p, q) if and only if one of the following occurs.

- (a) $\alpha, \beta \in OE(p, q)$ and $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$,
- (b) $\alpha, \beta \notin OE(p, q)$ and ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \ \mathcal{L} \ \gamma \ \mathcal{R} \ \beta$ in OM(p,q). By Lemma 5(b), if $\beta \in OE(p,q)$ then $\gamma \in OE(p,q)$ and $\ker \beta = \ker \gamma$. Suppose $\{e_j\}$ is a basis for $\ker \beta = \ker \gamma$ and expand it to a basis $\{e_j\} \cup \{e_i\}$ for V. Then $\{e_i\beta\}$ and $\{e_i\gamma\}$ are bases for $\operatorname{ran} \beta$ and $\operatorname{ran} \gamma$, respectively, and hence $r(\beta) = r(\gamma)$. By Lemma 2, $\operatorname{ran} \alpha = \operatorname{ran} \gamma$, so $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$; also $d(\alpha) = d(\gamma) \geq q$, so $\alpha \in OE(p,q)$. Conversely, suppose $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$. Let $\{e_k\}$ and $\{f_j\}$ be bases for $\ker \alpha$ and $\ker \beta$, respectively, with $|K| = n(\alpha) \geq q$ and $|J| = n(\beta) \geq q$. Expand these sets to two bases for V, say $\{e_k\} \cup \{e_i\}$ and $\{f_j\} \cup \{f_\ell\}$, respectively. Then, $\{e_i\alpha\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{ran} \alpha$ and $\{f_\ell\beta\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{ran} \beta$. Since $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$, we have |I| = |L|, so we can write $\{f_i\}$ and $\{f_i\beta\}$ instead of $\{f_\ell\}$ and $\{f_\ell\beta\}$, respectively. Now define $\lambda \in T(V)$ by

$$\lambda = \left(\begin{array}{cc} f_j & f_i \\ 0 & e_i \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

Since $n(\lambda) = n(\beta) \ge q$ and $d(\lambda) = d(\alpha) \ge q$, we have $\lambda \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$. In fact, ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \lambda$ and $\ker \lambda = \ker \beta$, hence $\alpha \ \mathcal{L} \ \lambda \ \mathcal{R} \ \beta$ by Lemmas 2 and 5(b). In other words, we have shown that $\alpha \ \mathcal{D} \ \beta$ in OM(p,q).

Now suppose $\alpha \mathcal{L} \gamma \mathcal{R} \beta$ in OM(p,q) and $\beta \notin OE(p,q)$. Then, $\beta = \gamma$ by Lemma 5(a), and so $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$. Hence, ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$ and $\alpha \notin OE(p,q)$. Conversely, if $\alpha, \beta \notin OE(p,q)$ and ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$ then $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ (by Lemma 2), and the result follows.

Theorem 4. If $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p, q)$ then $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in OM(p, q)^1$ if and only if $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta)$. Consequently, $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ in OM(p, q) if and only if $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p, q)$ and suppose $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in OM(p, q)^1$. Then, $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta \mu) \leq r(\beta)$. Conversely, suppose $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta)$ and let $\{e_j\}$ and $\{f_k\}$ be bases for ker α and ker β , respectively, with $|J| = n(\alpha) \geq q$ and $|K| = n(\beta) \geq q$. Expand $\{e_j\}$ to a basis $\{e_j\} \cup \{e_i\}$ for V and write $e_i \alpha = a_i$ for each i. Clearly, $\{a_i\}$ is a basis for ran α . Similarly, expand $\{f_k\}$ to a basis for V, say $\{f_k\} \cup \{f_\ell\}$, and write $\{f_\ell\} = \{g_i\} \cup \{g_m\}$ (note that $\{f_\ell\beta\}$ is a basis for ran β and $r(\beta) \geq r(\alpha) = |I|$). Now write $g_i\beta = b_i$ and $g_m\beta = b_m$ for each i and each m. Since $\{b_i\} \cup \{b_m\}$ is a basis for ran β , it can be extended to a basis $\{b_i\} \cup \{b_m\} \cup \{b_r\}$ for V, where $|R| = d(\beta)$.

If $|M| \ge q$ or $|R| \ge q$, define $\lambda, \mu \in T(V)$ by

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & g_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} b_i & b_m & b_r \\ a_i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $n(\lambda) = n(\alpha) \ge q$ and $n(\mu) = |M| + |R| \ge q$, so $\lambda, \mu \in OM(p, q)$. Also, $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$. On the other hand, suppose that |M| < q and |R| < q. Then, $r(\beta) + d(\beta) = p$ implies |I| = p. Since p is infinite, we can write $\{g_i\} = \{u_i\} \cup \{v_i\}$. For every i, write $u_i\beta = c_i$ and $v_i\beta = d_i$, and define λ, μ in T(V) by

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & u_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} c_i & d_i & b_m & b_r \\ a_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $n(\lambda) = n(\alpha) \ge q$ and $n(\mu) = \dim \langle d_i, b_m, b_r \rangle = p \ge q$. Hence, $\lambda, \mu \in OM(p, q)$. Also, $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$.

It is well known that the ideals of T(V) are precisely the sets

$$I_{\xi} = \{ \alpha \in T(V) : r(\alpha) < \xi \}$$

where $1 \leq \xi \leq p'$ and p' denotes the successor of p (compare [3] Vol. 2, section IX.9). As remarked in section 2, each $OM(p,\xi)$, with $\aleph_0 \leq \xi \leq p$, is a right ideal of T(V) and each $OE(p,\zeta)$, with $\aleph_0 \leq \zeta \leq p$, is a left ideal of T(V). Hence, $OE(p,\zeta).OM(p,\xi)$ is an ideal of T(V) for all cardinals ζ and ξ such that $\aleph_0 \leq \zeta, \xi \leq p$. Next we show that, in fact, $OE(p,\zeta).OM(p,\xi) = T(V)$. To do this, let $\alpha \in T(V)$ and write, in the usual way,

$$\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{array}\right).$$

If $|J| \ge \zeta$ and $|J| \ge \xi$ then define $\beta \in T(V)$ by

$$\beta = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & e_i \end{array} \right).$$

Clearly, $\beta \in OE(p,\zeta)$ and $\alpha = \beta\alpha$. Since $\alpha \in OM(p,\xi)$ in this case, it follows that $\alpha \in OE(p,\zeta).OM(p,\xi)$. Now if $|J| < \zeta \le p$ or $|J| < \xi \le p$, then |I| = p, hence we can write $\{e_i\} = \{f_i\} \cup \{g_i\}$. Define $\delta, \gamma \in T(V)$ by

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & g_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} e_j & f_i & g_i \\ 0 & 0 & a_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $d(\delta) = \dim\langle e_j, f_i \rangle = p \geq \zeta$ and $n(\gamma) = \dim\langle e_j, f_i \rangle = p \geq \xi$. Since $\alpha = \delta \gamma$, we have $\alpha \in OE(p, \zeta).OM(p, \xi)$. Therefore, $OE(p, \zeta).OM(p, \xi) = T(V)$.

If $\xi \leq p$ then $I_{\xi} \subseteq OM(p,q)$ (since $r(\alpha) < p$ and $p = n(\alpha) + r(\alpha)$ imply $n(\alpha) = p \geq q$). Hence, each I_{ξ} , with $1 \leq \xi \leq p$, is an ideal of OM(p,q), and clearly it is a proper subset of OM(p,q). The next result shows that these are exactly the proper ideals of OM(p,q).

Theorem 5. The proper ideals of OM(p,q) are precisely the sets I_{ξ} where $1 \leq \xi \leq p$. Moreover, the set I_{ξ} is a principal ideal of OM(p,q) if and only if ξ is a successor cardinal.

Proof. By the remark above, each I_{ξ} , with $1 \leq \xi \leq p$, is a proper ideal of OM(p,q). Conversely, let I be any proper ideal of OM(p,q) and let ξ be the least cardinal greater than $r(\beta)$ for every $\beta \in I$ (possible since the cardinals are well-ordered). Then, $1 \leq \xi \leq p'$ and $I \subseteq OM(p,q) \cap I_{\xi}$. Given $\alpha \in OM(p,q) \cap I_{\xi}$, we know $n(\alpha) \geq q$ and $r(\alpha) < \xi$. Thus, there exists $\beta \in I$ such that $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta)$: otherwise, $r(\beta) < r(\alpha) < \xi$ for every $\beta \in I$, and this contradicts our choice of ξ . Therefore, by Theorem 4, $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in OM(p,q)^1$ and so $\alpha \in I$. Hence $I = OM(p,q) \cap I_{\xi}$, and this equals I_{ξ} precisely when $\xi \neq p'$ (since $I_{\xi} = T(V)$ when $\xi = p'$).

Next we determine all principal ideals of OM(p,q). To do this, let ξ be a successor cardinal, say $\xi = \eta'$, and choose $\alpha \in I_{\xi}$ with $r(\alpha) = \eta$. Then $r(\beta) \leq \eta$ for every $\beta \in I_{\xi}$

(otherwise, $r(\beta) > \eta$ implies $r(\beta) \ge \eta' = \xi$, a contradiction). Therefore, by Theorem 4, $\beta \in J(\alpha)$, the principal ideal of OM(p,q) generated by α . Hence, $I_{\xi} \subseteq J(\alpha)$ and clearly the reverse inclusion also holds. Thus, I_{ξ} is principal. Conversely, suppose $I_{\xi} = J(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in OM(p,q)$. Let $r(\alpha) = \eta$ and suppose $\eta < \chi < \xi \le p$ for some cardinal χ . Clearly, $\chi = r(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in OM(p,q)$ and, by Theorem 4, $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in OM(p,q)^1$. Therefore, $J(\alpha) \subseteq J(\beta) \subseteq I_{\xi}$, contradicting our supposition. In other words, ξ is the least cardinal greater than η , and so $\xi = \eta'$.

From the Theorem above, it follows that the semigroup OM(p,q) is neither left 0-simple nor right 0-simple (recall a remark before Theorem 1). In passing, we note that this is true even if q is finite. For, in this case, the sets I_{ξ} with $1 < \xi \le p$ still are non-zero proper ideals of OM(p,q).

Similarly, we can determine the ideals of OE(p,q). To do so, we first describe the \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{J} relations on this semigroup.

Theorem 6. If $\alpha, \beta \in OE(p,q)$ then $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ in OE(p,q) if and only if one of the following occurs.

- (a) $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p, q)$ and $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$,
- (b) $\alpha, \beta \notin OM(p, q)$ and $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \mathcal{L} \gamma \mathcal{R} \beta$ in OE(p,q). By Lemma 7(b), if $\alpha \in OM(p,q)$ then $\gamma \in OM(p,q)$ and ran $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} \gamma$. By Lemma 3, $\ker \beta = \ker \gamma$, so $r(\beta) = r(\gamma)$ and $n(\beta) = n(\gamma) \geq q$. Therefore, $\beta \in OM(p,q)$ and $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$. Conversely, if $\alpha, \beta \in OM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q)$ and $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$, then the same argument as that used in the proof of Theorem 3(a) shows that $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ in OE(p,q).

Now suppose $\alpha \ \mathcal{L} \ \gamma \ \mathcal{R} \ \beta$ in OE(p,q) and $\alpha \notin OM(p,q)$. Then, $\alpha = \gamma$ by Lemma 7(a), and so $\alpha \ \mathcal{R} \ \beta$. By Lemma 3, $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$, hence $\beta \notin OM(p,q)$. Conversely, if $\alpha, \beta \notin OM(p,q)$ and $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$ then $\alpha \ \mathcal{R} \ \beta$ (by Lemma 3), and the result follows.

Theorem 7. If $\alpha, \beta \in OE(p, q)$ then $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in OE(p, q)^1$ if and only if $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta)$. Consequently, $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ in OE(p, q) if and only if $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in OE(p,q)^1$. Then, as before, $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta)$. Conversely, assume $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta)$ and write, in the usual way,

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & a_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} f_k & g_i & g_m \\ 0 & b_i & b_m \end{pmatrix}$$

(note that this is possible since $r(\beta) \geq r(\alpha) = |I|$). Clearly, $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\} \dot{\cup} \{b_m\}$ are bases for ran α and ran β , respectively. Hence, they can be expanded to bases for V, say $\{a_i\} \dot{\cup} \{a_s\}$ and $\{b_i\} \dot{\cup} \{b_m\} \dot{\cup} \{b_r\}$, respectively, where $|S| = d(\alpha) \geq q$ and $|R| = d(\beta) \geq q$. If $|K| \geq q$ or $|M| \geq q$, then define $\lambda, \mu \in T(V)$ by

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & g_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} b_i & b_m & b_r \\ a_i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $d(\lambda) = \dim \langle f_k, g_m \rangle \geq q$ and $d(\mu) = d(\alpha) \geq q$, it follows that $\lambda, \mu \in OE(p, q)$. Also, $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$. Now suppose |K| < q and |M| < q. Then, $n(\beta) + r(\beta) = p$ implies |I| = p. Therefore, we can write $\{g_i\} = \{u_i\} \cup \{v_i\}$ (because p is infinite). Let $u_i\beta = c_i$ and $v_i\beta = d_i$ for each i and define $\lambda, \mu \in T(V)$ by

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} e_j & e_i \\ 0 & u_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} c_i & d_i & b_m & b_r \\ a_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $d(\lambda) = \dim \langle f_k, v_i, g_m \rangle = p \ge q$ and $d(\mu) = d(\alpha) \ge q$. Hence, $\lambda, \mu \in OE(p, q)$. Also, $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$.

The following result determines the proper ideals of OE(p,q): they are exactly the proper ideals of T(V).

Theorem 8. The proper ideals of OE(p,q) are precisely the sets I_{ξ} where $1 \leq \xi \leq p$. Moreover, the set I_{ξ} is a principal ideal of OE(p,q) if and only if ξ is a successor cardinal.

Proof. If $1 \leq \xi \leq p$ then $I_{\xi} \subseteq OE(p,q)$ (since $r(\alpha) < \xi \leq p$ and $p = r(\alpha) + d(\alpha)$ imply $d(\alpha) = p \geq q$). Since each I_{ξ} , with $1 \leq \xi \leq p$, is an ideal of T(V) (see a remark before Theorem 5) it is an ideal of OE(p,q). For the converse, let I be an ideal of OE(p,q) and let ξ be the least cardinal greater than $r(\beta)$ for every $\beta \in I$ (this is possible since the cardinals are well-ordered). Then, $1 \leq \xi \leq p'$ and $I \subseteq OE(p,q) \cap I_{\xi}$. Let $\alpha \in OE(p,q) \cap I_{\xi}$. Then, $d(\alpha) \geq q$ and $r(\alpha) < \xi$, hence (as before) there exists $\beta \in I$ such that $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta)$. Therefore, by Theorem 7, $\alpha = \lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in OE(p,q)^1$ and so $\alpha \in I$. Hence, $I = OE(p,q) \cap I_{\xi}$ and this equals I_{ξ} precisely when $\xi \neq p'$ (since $I_{\xi} = T(V)$ when $\xi = p'$). Using an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 5, we conclude that I_{ξ} is principal if and only if ξ is a successor cardinal; and in this case, $I_{\xi} = J(\alpha)$ for some α such that $r(\alpha)' = \xi$.

It is now easy to see that the semigroup OE(p,q) is neither left 0-simple nor right 0-simple (recall a remark before Theorem 1), and this is true even if q is finite (since, in this case, the sets I_{ξ} with $1 < \xi \le p$ still are non-zero proper ideals of OE(p,q)).

Given the results above on the ideals of the semigroups OM(p,q) and OE(p,q) and the results obtained in [7] section 3 on the ideals of AM(p,q) and AE(p,q), we end this section by illustrating the ideal structure of these four semigroups: it is now easy to see that their ideal structures are extremely connected. Clearly, the three columns below the first row in the following diagram are mutually disjoint.

$$AM(p,q) \qquad OE(p,q) \qquad OM(p,q) \qquad AE(p,q)$$

$$AM(p,q) \cap OE(p,q) \qquad OE(p,q) \cap OM(p,q) \qquad OM(p,q) \cap AE(p,q)$$

$$\vdots \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$AM(p,q) \cap OE(p,\xi) \qquad I_p \qquad OM(p,\xi) \cap AE(p,q)$$

$$\vdots \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$AM(p,q) \cap OE(p,p) \qquad I_1 \qquad OM(p,p) \cap AE(p,q)$$

4. Maximal right cancellative subsemigroups

In [6], the author studied basic properties of the semigroup KN(p,q) consisting of all injective linear transformations $\alpha \in T(V)$ for which $d(\alpha) \geq q$. She showed that KN(p,q) is a right cancellative semigroup without idempotents; and if p > q then its right ideals form a chain and it has no maximal principal left ideals. Also, by [6] Theorem 6, any semigroup with these properties can be embedded in some KN(p,q), where p = |S|. Clearly $KN(p,q) \subseteq OE(p,q)$. In fact, it is a maximal right cancellative subsemigroup of OE(p,q), as we proceed to show.

Lemma 8. Let S be a subsemigroup of T(V) containing KN(p,q) and at least one non-injective element of T(V). Then, there exists some $\alpha \in S$ such that $n(\alpha) \geq 2$.

Proof. Suppose $n(\alpha) < 2$ for every $\alpha \in S$. Since S is not contained in the semigroup of all injective linear transformations of V, there exists $\gamma \in S$ such that $n(\gamma) = 1$. Let $a \in \ker \gamma$ be non-zero and suppose $\{a\} \dot{\cup} \{a_i\}$ is a basis for V, with |I| = p. Write $\{a_i\} = \{b\} \dot{\cup} \{c_i\}$ (note that this is possible since p is infinite). Clearly, $\{b\gamma\} \dot{\cup} \{c_i\gamma\}$ is a basis for ran γ , hence it can be extended to a basis for V, say $\{b\gamma\} \dot{\cup} \{c_i\gamma\} \dot{\cup} \{d_\ell\}$, where $|L| = d(\gamma) \leq p$. Now write $\{c_i\} = \{e_i\} \dot{\cup} \{f_i\} \dot{\cup} \{g_\ell\}$ and define $\lambda, \mu \in T(V)$ by

$$\lambda = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c_i \\ b & a & e_i \end{array} \right), \quad \mu = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} b\gamma & c_i\gamma & d_\ell \\ a & e_i & g_\ell \end{array} \right).$$

Then $n(\lambda) = 0 = n(\mu)$ and $d(\lambda) = p = d(\mu)$, so $\lambda, \mu \in KN(p,q) \subseteq S$. Therefore, $\lambda \gamma \mu \gamma \in S$ and we have $a\lambda \gamma \mu \gamma = 0 = b\lambda \gamma \mu \gamma$. Since $\{a,b\}$ is linearly independent, it follows that $n(\lambda \gamma \mu \gamma) \geq 2$, a contradiction. Hence, there exists some $\alpha \in S$ such that $n(\alpha) \geq 2$.

Theorem 9. The semigroup KN(p,q) is a maximal right cancellative subsemigroup of OE(p,q).

Proof. Suppose $KN(p,q) \subseteq M \subseteq OE(p,q)$, where M is a right cancellative subsemigroup of OE(p,q). If M contains some non-injective element, then there exists $\beta \in M$ such that $n(\beta) \geq 2$ (by Lemma 8). Suppose $\{e_j\}$ is a basis for ker β and let $a, b \in \{e_j\}$, $a \neq b$. Now expand $\{a,b\}$ to a basis $\{a,b\} \cup \{e_i\}$ for V, with |I| = p, and write $\{e_i\} = \{f_i\} \cup \{g_i\}$ (possible since p is infinite). Define $\lambda, \mu \in T(V)$ by

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & e_i \\ a & b & f_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & e_i \\ b & a & f_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $n(\lambda) = 0 = n(\mu)$ and $d(\lambda) = p = d(\mu)$, hence $\lambda, \mu \in KN(p,q) \subseteq M$. Clearly $\lambda\beta = \mu\beta$ and, since M is right cancellative, it follows that $\lambda = \mu$, a contradiction. Therefore, all elements of M are one-to-one and, since $M \subseteq OE(p,q)$, it follows that $M \subseteq KN(p,q)$. Hence, M = KN(p,q) and we have the required result. \square

The following example illustrates the fact that OE(p,q) contains maximal right cancellative subsemigroups which do not equal KN(p,q).

Example 1. Let $V = U \oplus \langle x_i \rangle$ where dim U = q and |I| = p, and let H denote the set of all $\alpha \in T(V)$ with the form:

$$\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{cc} U & x_i \\ 0 & x_{i\pi} \end{array}\right)$$

for some $\pi \in G(I)$, the symmetric group on the set I. It is easy to see that H is a subgroup of OE(p,q), and clearly H contains no injective elements. Moreover, if \mathcal{F} denotes the family of all right cancellative subsemigroups of OE(p,q) that contain H, then \mathcal{F} is non-empty. Thus, we can use Zorn's Lemma to show that \mathcal{F} contains a maximal element, H' say. Then H' is a maximal right cancellative subsemigroup of OE(p,q) which does not equal KN(p,q).

References

- 1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 7, Vols. 1 and 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1961 and 1967.
- 2. J. M. Howie, An introduction to semigroup theory, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- 3. N. Jacobson, Lectures on Abstract Algebra, 3 Vols., van Nostrand, Amsterdam, 1953.
- 4. Y. Kemprasit, Some transformation semigroups whose sets of bi-ideals and quasi-ideals coincide, Comm. Algebra, 30 (9) (2002), 4499-4506.
- 5. Y. Kemprasit and C. Namnak, On semigroups of linear transformations whose bi-ideals are quasi-ideals, Pure Math. Appl., 12 (4) (2001), 405-413.
- 6. S. Mendes-Gonçalves, Semigroups of injective linear transformations with infinite defect, Comm. Algebra, 34 (1)(2006), 289-302.
- 7. S. Mendes-Gonçalves and R. P. Sullivan, The ideal structure of semigroups of linear transformations with upper bounds on their nullity or defect, submitted.
- 8. C. Namnak and Y. Kemprasit, Some semigroups of linear transformations whose sets of bi-ideals and quasi-ideals coincide, Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra and its Aplications (ICAA2002) (Bangkok), pp. 215-224, Chulalongkorn Univ., Bangkok, 2002 (www.math.sc.chula.ac.th/ICAA2002/).
- 9. C. Namnak and Y. Kemprasit, Some BQ-semigroups of linear transformations, Kyungpook Math. J., 43 (2) (2003), 237-246.
- 10. M. A. Reynolds and R. P. Sullivan, Products of idempotent linear transformations, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 100A (1985), 123-138.