

Global Stability of a Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model with Unbounded Time-Varying Delays

Teresa Faria^a and José J. Oliveira^b

September 9, 2009

^a Departamento de Matemática, CMAF, Universidade de Lisboa

^b Departamento de Matemática, CMAT, Universidade do Minho

Boundedness of solutions

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t)$$

Global stability

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

Application

Cohen-Grossberg neural network model with unbounded delays

In

José J. Oliveira, *Math. Comp. Model.* **50**(2009), 81-91

the case of neural networks with bounded distributed delays was treated, as well bounded discrete time-varying delays.

Notation

► $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|x| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|;$$

Notation

- ▶ $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|x| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|;$$

- ▶ We consider the space of bounded and continuous functions $\varphi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

$$BC = BC((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

with the norm $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|;$

Notation

- ▶ $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|x| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|;$$

- ▶ We consider the space of bounded and continuous functions $\varphi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

$$BC = BC((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

with the norm $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|;$

- ▶ $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a **non-singular M-matrix** if $a_{ij} \leq 0$, $i \neq j$ and $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) > 0$.

Boundedness of solutions

► FDE in BC

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : [0, +\infty) \times BC \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ continuous,}$$
$$x_t(s) = x(t + s), \quad s \in (-\infty, 0],$$

Boundedness of solutions

► FDE in BC

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : [0, +\infty) \times BC \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous,
 $x_t(s) = x(t+s), s \in (-\infty, 0]$,

► **Proposition 1**

Assume that, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ satisfies

(H) $\forall t \geq 0, \forall \varphi \in BC$:

$$\forall s \in (-\infty, 0), |\varphi(s)| < |\varphi(0)| \Rightarrow \varphi_i(0)f_i(t, \varphi) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\varphi(s)| = |\varphi_i(0)|$.

Then all solution of (1) with initial condition on BC is defined and bounded on $[0, +\infty)$.

$$|x(t, 0, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|$$

Proof of Proposition 1 (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.

Proof of Proposition 1 (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.
- ▶ Suppose that $|x(t_1)| > k$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 1 (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.
- ▶ Suppose that $|x(t_1)| > k$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$, for $s < 0$.
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T)f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$. If $x_i(T) > 0$ (analogous if $x_i(T) < 0$), then $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$.

Proof of Proposition 1 (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.
- ▶ Suppose that $|x(t_1)| > k$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$, for $s < 0$.
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T)f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$. If $x_i(T) > 0$ (analogous if $x_i(T) < 0$), then $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$.

- ▶ $x_i(t) \leq |x(t)| < |x(T)| = x_i(T)$, $t \in [0, T)$,

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x}_i(T) \geq 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 1 (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.
- ▶ Suppose that $|x(t_1)| > k$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$, for $s < 0$.
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T)f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$. If $x_i(T) > 0$ (analogous if $x_i(T) < 0$), then $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$.

- ▶ $x_i(t) \leq |x(t)| < |x(T)| = x_i(T)$, $t \in [0, T)$,

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x}_i(T) \geq 0.$$

- ▶ Contradiction. Thus $x(t)$ is defined and bounded on $[0, +\infty)$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

Global stability

- Consider the generalized Cohen-Grossberg neural network model with unbounded time-varying delays,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right) \quad (2)$$

where $k_i, : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i, h_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_{ij}^{(p)} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous functions such that

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

Global stability

- Consider the generalized Cohen-Grossberg neural network model with unbounded time-varying delays,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right) \quad (2)$$

where $k_i, : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i, h_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_{ij}^{(p)} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v))/(u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$.]

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

Global stability

- Consider the generalized Cohen-Grossberg neural network model with unbounded time-varying delays,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right) \quad (2)$$

where $k_i, : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i, h_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$\tau_{ij}^{(p)} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v))/(u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$.]

- **(A2)** $h_{ij}^{(p)}$ are Lipschitz functions with constant $l_{ij}^{(p)}$;

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

Global stability

- Consider the generalized Cohen-Grossberg neural network model with unbounded time-varying delays,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right) \quad (2)$$

where $k_i, : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i, h_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$\tau_{ij}^{(p)} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v))/(u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$.]

- **(A2)** $h_{ij}^{(p)}$ are Lipschitz functions with constant $l_{ij}^{(p)}$;
- **(A3)** $t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

► Theorem 1

Assume **(A1)**, **(A2)**, **(A3)**. If the matrix

$$N := \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) - [l_{ij}], \quad \text{where} \quad l_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^P l_{ij}^{(p)},$$

is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (2), which is globally asymptotically stable.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

► **Theorem 1**

Assume **(A1)**, **(A2)**, **(A3)**. If the matrix

$$N := \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) - [l_{ij}], \quad \text{where} \quad l_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^P l_{ij}^{(p)},$$

is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (2), which is globally asymptotically stable.

► **Proof (idea)**

Existence and uniqueness of equilibrium point

$$\begin{aligned} H: \mathbb{R}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ x &\mapsto \left(b_i(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j) \right)_{i=1}^n \end{aligned}$$

is homeomorphism.

Then there exists $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $H(x^*) = 0$, i.e. x^* is the equilibrium.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

- N non-singular M-matrix $\Rightarrow$

There is $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) > 0$ such that $Nd > 0$, i.e.

$$\beta_i > d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

- N non-singular M-matrix $\Rightarrow$

There is $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) > 0$ such that $Nd > 0$, i.e.

$$\beta_i > d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (3)$$

- The change of variables

$$y_i(t) = d_i^{-1} x_i(t) - x_i^*$$

transforms (2) into

$$\dot{y}_i(t) = -\bar{k}_i(y_i(t)) [\bar{b}_i(y_i(t)) + \bar{h}_i(t, y_t)], \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

$$\bar{h}_i(t, \varphi) = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(d_j(\varphi_j(-\tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t)) + x_j^*)), \quad \varphi \in BC$$

$$\bar{b}_i(u) = d_i^{-1} b_i(d_i(u + x_i^*)), \quad \bar{k}_i = k_i(d_i(u + x_i^*)), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $\bar{b}_i(0) + \bar{h}_i(t, 0) = 0, \forall t \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

► Boundedness of solutions

By **(A1)** and **(A2)**, we can conclude that

$$\bar{b}_i(\varphi_i(0)) + \bar{h}_i(t, \varphi) \geq \left(\beta_i - d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j \right) \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)| > 0,$$

for $\varphi \in BC$ such that $\varphi_i(0) = \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)| > 0$. Hence $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : [0, +\infty) \times BC \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, defined by

$$f_i(t, \varphi) = -\bar{k}_i(\varphi_i(0)) [\bar{b}_i(\varphi_i(0)) + \bar{h}_i(t, \varphi)], \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

satisfies **(H)** and, from Proposition 1, all solutions of (4) with initial conditions on BC are defined and bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

► Global stability

Let $y(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ be a solution of (4) with initial condition on BC .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

► Global stability

Let $y(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ be a solution of (4) with initial condition on BC .

► Then $y(t)$ is defined and bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.

$$-v_i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(t), \quad u_i = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(t)$$

$$v = \max_i \{v_i\}, \quad u = \max_i \{u_i\},$$

$$u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \quad -v \leq u.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

► Global stability

Let $y(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ be a solution of (4) with initial condition on BC .

► Then $y(t)$ is defined and bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.

$$-v_i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(t), \quad u_i = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(t)$$

$$v = \max_i \{v_i\}, \quad u = \max_i \{u_i\},$$

$$u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \quad -v \leq u.$$

► We have to show $\max(u, v) = 0$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

► Global stability

Let $y(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ be a solution of (4) with initial condition on BC .

► Then $y(t)$ is defined and bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.

$$-v_i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(t), \quad u_i = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(t)$$

$$v = \max_i \{v_i\}, \quad u = \max_i \{u_i\},$$

$$u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \quad -v \leq u.$$

► We have to show $\max(u, v) = 0$.

► We suppose $|v| \leq u$. ($|u| \leq v$ is similar)

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $u_i = u$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

► Global stability

Let $y(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ be a solution of (4) with initial condition on BC .

► Then $y(t)$ is defined and bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.

$$-v_i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(t), \quad u_i = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(t)$$

$$v = \max_i \{v_i\}, \quad u = \max_i \{u_i\},$$

$$u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \quad -v \leq u.$$

► We have to show $\max(u, v) = 0$.

► We suppose $|v| \leq u$. ($|u| \leq v$ is similar)

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $u_i = u$.

► We can show that exists $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$t_k \nearrow +\infty, \quad y_i(t_k) \rightarrow u, \quad f_i(t_k, y_{t_k}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

- To get a contradiction, we suppose that $u > 0$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

- ▶ To get a contradiction, we suppose that $u > 0$.
- ▶ As $t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq k_0 \Rightarrow |y(t_k)|, |y(t_k - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t_k))| < u_\varepsilon := u + \varepsilon$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

- ▶ To get a contradiction, we suppose that $u > 0$.
- ▶ As $t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq k_0 \Rightarrow |y(t_k)|, |y(t_k - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t_k))| < u_\varepsilon := u + \varepsilon$$

- ▶ From **(A1)** and **(A2)**, we can obtain, for $k \geq k_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{b}_i(y_i(t_k)) + \bar{h}_i(t_k, y_{t_k}) \\ & \geq \beta_i y_i(t_k) - d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j \sup_{k \geq k_0, \forall i, j, p} |y_j(t_k - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t_k))| \\ & \geq \beta_i y_i(t_k) - d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j u_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

- ▶ To get a contradiction, we suppose that $u > 0$.
- ▶ As $t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N} : k \geq k_0 \Rightarrow |y(t_k)|, |y(t_k - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t_k))| < u_\varepsilon := u + \varepsilon$$

- ▶ From **(A1)** and **(A2)**, we can obtain, for $k \geq k_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{b}_i(y_i(t_k)) + \bar{h}_i(t_k, y_{t_k}) \\ & \geq \beta_i y_i(t_k) - d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j \sup_{k \geq k_0, \forall i, j, p} |y_j(t_k - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t_k))| \\ & \geq \beta_i y_i(t_k) - d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j u_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\beta_i y_i(t_k) - d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \left(\beta_i - d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij} d_j \right) u > 0.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P h_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}^{(p)}(t))) \right)$$

- ▶ As $y_i(t)$ is bounded and $\bar{k}_i$ is continuous and positive,

$$\exists K > 0, \forall t \geq 0 : \bar{k}_i(y_i(t)) > K.$$

Thus

$$f_i(t_k, y_{t_k}) = -\bar{k}_i(y_i(t_k)) [\bar{b}_i(y_i(t_k)) + \bar{h}_i(t_k, y_{t_k})] \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

which is a contradiction.

Consequently $u = 0$, hence $v = 0$.

Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded discrete delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} g_j(x_j(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \right] \quad (5)$$

- Set of admissible initial conditions BC

Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded discrete delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} g_j(x_j(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \right] \quad (5)$$

- ▶ Set of admissible initial conditions BC
- ▶ $k_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $\tau_{ij} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous and $t - \tau_{ij}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$;

Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded discrete delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} g_j(x_j(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \right] \quad (5)$$

- ▶ Set of admissible initial conditions BC
- ▶ $k_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $\tau_{ij} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous and $t - \tau_{ij}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$;
- ▶ $g_j, f_j : BC \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitzian with constant G_j and F_j respectively;

Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded discrete delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} g_j(x_j(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \right] \quad (5)$$

- ▶ Set of admissible initial conditions BC
- ▶ $k_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $\tau_{ij} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous and $t - \tau_{ij}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$;
- ▶ $g_j, f_j : BC \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitzian with constant G_j and F_j respectively;
- ▶ $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous satisfying **(A2)**;

Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded discrete delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} g_j(x_j(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \right] \quad (5)$$

- ▶ Set of admissible initial conditions BC
- ▶ $k_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $\tau_{ij} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous and $t - \tau_{ij}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$;
- ▶ $g_j, f_j : BC \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitzian with constant G_j and F_j respectively;
- ▶ $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous satisfying **(A2)**;
- ▶ $N := \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) - [l_{ij}]$, where $l_{ij} = |c_{ij}|G_j + |d_{ij}|F_j$.

► Corollary

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (5), which is globally asymptotically stable.

[1] T. Huang, A. Chan, Y. Huang, J. Cao, Stability of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with time-varying delays, Neural Networks, 20 (2007) 868-873.

► **Corollary**

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (5), which is globally asymptotically stable.

► **Proof**

System (5) has the form (2) if

$$P = 2, \quad h_{ij}^{(1)}(u) = -c_{ij}g_j(u) \text{ and } h_{ij}^{(2)}(u) = -d_{ij}f_j(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$\tau_{ij}^{(1)}(t) = 0, \quad \tau_{ij}^{(2)}(t) = \tau_{ij}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

[1] T. Huang, A. Chan, Y. Huang, J. Cao, Stability of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with time-varying delays,

Neural Networks, 20 (2007) 868-873.

► Corollary

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (5), which is globally asymptotically stable.

► Proof

System (5) has the form (2) if

$$P = 2, \quad h_{ij}^{(1)}(u) = -c_{ij}g_j(u) \text{ and } h_{ij}^{(2)}(u) = -d_{ij}f_j(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$\tau_{ij}^{(1)}(t) = 0, \quad \tau_{ij}^{(2)}(t) = \tau_{ij}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

- $h_{ij}^{(1)}, h_{ij}^{(2)}$ are Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant $l_{ij}^{(1)} = |c_{ij}|G_j, l_{ij}^{(2)} = |d_{ij}|F_j$ respectively.

The result follows from Theorem 1.

[1] T. Huang, A. Chan, Y. Huang, J. Cao, Stability of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with time-varying delays,

Neural Networks, 20 (2007) 868-873.

► Corollary

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (5), which is globally asymptotically stable.

► Proof

System (5) has the form (2) if

$$P = 2, \quad h_{ij}^{(1)}(u) = -c_{ij}g_j(u) \text{ and } h_{ij}^{(2)}(u) = -d_{ij}f_j(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$\tau_{ij}^{(1)}(t) = 0, \quad \tau_{ij}^{(2)}(t) = \tau_{ij}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

- $h_{ij}^{(1)}, h_{ij}^{(2)}$ are Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant $l_{ij}^{(1)} = |c_{ij}|G_j, l_{ij}^{(2)} = |d_{ij}|F_j$ respectively.

The result follows from Theorem 1.

- In [1] the global stability was proved with additional conditions:

$$b_i \text{ differentiable and } 0 < \underline{k}_i \leq k_i(u) \leq \bar{k}_i, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

[1] T. Huang, A. Chan, Y. Huang, J. Cao, Stability of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with time-varying delays,

Neural Networks, 20 (2007) 868-873.

Thanks you

Obrigado