

# Global stability of a general neural network model with unbounded delays

José J. Oliveira<sup>a</sup> and Teresa Faria<sup>b</sup>

January 13, 2011

<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Matemática e Aplicações, CMAT,  
Universidade do Minho

# Global stability of a general neural network model with unbounded delays

José J. Oliveira<sup>a</sup> and Teresa Faria<sup>b</sup>

January 13, 2011

<sup>b</sup>Departamento de Matemática, CMAF,  
Universidade de Lisboa

# Neural Network Models

\*Pioneer Models:

- Cohen-Grossberg (1983)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left( b_i(x_i(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)) \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

- Hopfield (1984)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

where

$a_i$  amplification functions;  $b_i$  controller functions;  
 $f_j$  activation functions;  $C = [c_{ij}]$  conection matrix.

\*Neural Network Models with **infinite** time-delay:

- ▶ Cohen-Grossberg type model

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left( b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) g_j(x_j(t+s)) ds \right)$$

- ▶ Interval cellular neural network model

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}f_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \int_{-\infty}^0 g_j(x_j(t+s))d\eta_{lj}(s) \quad (4)$$

- Bidirectional associative memory neural network model

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left( b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^m f_{ij}(y_j(t - \tau_{ij})) \right), i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \dot{y}_j(t) = -d_j(y_j(t)) \left( c_j(y_j(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^n m_{ji} \int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ji}(-s) g_{ji}(x_i(t + s)) ds \right), j = 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

## \*General Neural Network Model with infinite time-delay

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t))[b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)], \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (6)$$

where, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$x_t(s) = x(t+s), \text{ for } s \leq 0, \text{ i.e., } x_t = x|_{(-\infty, t]}.$$

## \*Initial Condition

$$x_0 = \varphi, \quad \varphi \in BC \quad (7)$$

where  $BC := \{\varphi \in C((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) : \varphi \text{ is bounded}\}$

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty = \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$$

## \*Phase Space “strong fading memory”

$$UC_g = \left\{ \phi \in C((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{s \leq 0} \frac{|\phi(s)|}{g(s)} < \infty, \frac{\phi(s)}{g(s)} \text{ unif. cont.} \right\},$$

$$\|\phi\|_g = \sup_{s \leq 0} \frac{|\phi(s)|}{g(s)} \text{ with } |x| = |(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$$

where:

**(g1)**  $g : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  non-increasing, continuous,  $g(0) = 1$ ;

**(g2)**  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{g(s+u)}{g(s)} = 1$  uniformly on  $(-\infty, 0]$ ;

**(g3)**  $g(s) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow -\infty$ .

Example:  $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$ ,  $s \in (-\infty, 0]$ , with  $\alpha > 0$

$BC_g$  subspace of bounded continuous functions,  $BC$ , equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_g$ .

- $$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t), \quad t \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

$$x_t \in UC_g, \quad x_t(s) = x(t+s), s \leq 0$$

with  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : [0, +\infty) \times UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  continuous

- $$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t), \quad t \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

$$x_t \in UC_g, \quad x_t(s) = x(t+s), s \leq 0$$

with  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : [0, +\infty) \times UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  continuous

- **Lemma A** [Haddock and Hornor (1988)] If  $y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is such that  $y_0 \in UC_g$ ,  $y(t)$  is bounded and uniformly continuous on  $[0, +\infty)$ , and

$$\frac{|y(s)|}{g(s)} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } s \rightarrow -\infty,$$

than the positive orbit  $\{y_t : t \geq 0\}$  is precompact in  $UC_g$ .



## ► Lemma B

Assume that  $f$  transforms closed bounded sets of  $(-\infty, 0] \times UC_g$  into bounded sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If

(H)  $\forall t > 0, \forall \varphi \in BC_g$ :

$$\forall s \in (-\infty, 0), |\varphi(s)| < |\varphi(0)| \Rightarrow \varphi_i(0) f_i(t, \varphi) < 0,$$

for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|\varphi(s)| = |\varphi_i(0)|$ ,

then the solution  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ ,  $\varphi \in BC_g$ , of (8) is defined and bounded on  $[0, +\infty)$  and

$$|x(t, 0, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t)$$

### \*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  solution on  $[-\infty, a)$ ,  $a > 0$ , with  $\varphi \in BC_g$   
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$ .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t)$$

### \*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  solution on  $[-\infty, a)$ ,  $a > 0$ , with  $\varphi \in BC_g$   
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $|x(t_1)| > k$  for some  $t_1 > 0$  and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

### \*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  solution on  $[-\infty, a)$ ,  $a > 0$ , with  $\varphi \in BC_g$   
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $|x(t_1)| > k$  for some  $t_1 > 0$  and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have  $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$ , for  $s < 0$ .  
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T)f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$ . If  $x_i(T) > 0$  (analogous if  $x_i(T) < 0$ ), then  $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$ .

### \*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  solution on  $[-\infty, a)$ ,  $a > 0$ , with  $\varphi \in BC_g$   
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $|x(t_1)| > k$  for some  $t_1 > 0$  and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have  $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$ , for  $s < 0$ .  
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T)f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$ . If  $x_i(T) > 0$  (analogous if  $x_i(T) < 0$ ), then  $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$ .

- ▶  $x_i(t) \leq |x(t)| < |x(T)| = x_i(T)$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x}_i(T) \geq 0.$$

### \*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  solution on  $[-\infty, a)$ ,  $a > 0$ , with  $\varphi \in BC_g$   
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $|x(t_1)| > k$  for some  $t_1 > 0$  and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have  $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$ , for  $s < 0$ .  
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T)f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$ . If  $x_i(T) > 0$  (analogous if  $x_i(T) < 0$ ), then  $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$ .

- ▶  $x_i(t) \leq |x(t)| < |x(T)| = x_i(T)$ ,  $t \in [0, T)$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x}_i(T) \geq 0.$$

- ▶ Contradiction. Thus  $x(t)$  is defined and bounded on  $[0, +\infty)$ .



## ► Lemma B'

Assume that  $f$  transforms closed bounded sets  $(-\infty, 0] \times UC_g$  into bounded sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If

(H')  $\forall t > 0, \forall \varphi \in UC_g$ :

$$\forall s \in (-\infty, 0), \frac{|\varphi(s)|}{g(s)} < |\varphi(0)| \Rightarrow \varphi_i(0)f_i(t, \varphi) < 0,$$

for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|\varphi(s)| = |\varphi_i(0)|$ ,

then the solution  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ ,  $\varphi \in UC_g$ , of (8) is defined and bounded on  $[0, +\infty)$  and

$$|x(t, 0, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|_g.$$

► Remark: (H')  $\Rightarrow$  (H), and  $\|\varphi\|_g \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$ .



$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global asymptotic stability

- Consider in  $UC_g$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

where  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_i : UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions such that

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global asymptotic stability

- Consider in  $UC_g$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

where  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_i : UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)**  $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$ :

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for  $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$ .]

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global asymptotic stability

- Consider in  $UC_g$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

where  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_i : UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)**  $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$ :

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for  $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$ .]

- **(A2)**  $f_i$  is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant  $l_i$ .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global asymptotic stability

- Consider in  $UC_g$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

where  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_i : UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)**  $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$ :

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for  $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$ .]

- **(A2)**  $f_i$  is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant  $l_i$ .
- **Definition**

A equilibrium  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is said *global asymptotic stable* if it is stable and

$$x(t, 0, \varphi) \rightarrow x^* \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } \varphi \in BC_g.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

► **Theorem 1 (GAS)**

Assume **(A1)** and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally asymptotically stable.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

► **Theorem 1 (GAS)**

Assume **(A1)** and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally asymptotically stable.

► **Proof (idea)**

Existence and uniqueness of equilibrium point

$$\begin{aligned} H : \mathbb{R}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ x &\mapsto (b_i(x_i) + f_i(x))_{i=1}^n \end{aligned}$$

is homeomorphism.

Then there exists  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $H(x^*) = 0$ , i.e.  $x^*$  is the equilibrium.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- By translation, we may assume that  $x^* = 0$ , i.e.,

$$b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- By translation, we may assume that  $x^* = 0$ , i.e.,

$$b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

- For  $\varphi \in UC_g$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_g = |\varphi(0)| = \varphi_i(0) > 0$   
(analogous if  $\varphi_i(0) < 0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} b_i(\varphi_i(0)) + f_i(\varphi) &= [b_i(\varphi_i(0)) - b_i(0)] + [f_i(\varphi) - f_i(0)] \\ &\geq (\beta_i - l_i) \|\varphi\|_g > 0. \end{aligned}$$

**(H')** holds and from Lemma B' we conclude

- $x = 0$  is uniform stable
- all solutions are defined and bounded on  $[0, +\infty)$



$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- By translation, we may assume that  $x^* = 0$ , i.e.,

$$b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

- For  $\varphi \in UC_g$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_g = |\varphi(0)| = \varphi_i(0) > 0$  (analogous if  $\varphi_i(0) < 0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} b_i(\varphi_i(0)) + f_i(\varphi) &= [b_i(\varphi_i(0)) - b_i(0)] + [f_i(\varphi) - f_i(0)] \\ &\geq (\beta_i - l_i) \|\varphi\|_g > 0. \end{aligned}$$

**(H')** holds and from Lemma B' we conclude

- $x = 0$  is uniform stable
- all solutions are defined and bounded on  $[0, +\infty)$
- It remains to prove that  $x = 0$  is global attractive.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- Let  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  a solution of (6), with  $\varphi \in BC_g$ , and define

$$-v_i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t), \quad u_i = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t)$$

$$v = \max_i \{v_i\}, \quad u = \max_i \{u_i\},$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-v \leq u$ . We have to show that  $\max(u, v) = 0$ .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- Let  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  a solution of (6), with  $\varphi \in BC_g$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} -v_i &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t), & u_i &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t) \\ v &= \max_i \{v_i\}, & u &= \max_i \{u_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-v \leq u$ . We have to show that  $\max(u, v) = 0$ .

- Suppose  $|v| \leq u$ . ( $|u| \leq v$  is similar)  
Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $u_i = u$ .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- ▶ Let  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  a solution of (6), with  $\varphi \in BC_g$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} -v_i &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t), & u_i &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t) \\ v &= \max_i \{v_i\}, & u &= \max_i \{u_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-v \leq u$ . We have to show that  $\max(u, v) = 0$ .

- ▶ Suppose  $|v| \leq u$ . ( $|u| \leq v$  is similar)  
Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $u_i = u$ .
- ▶ By computations, we can show that exists  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that
 
$$t_k \nearrow +\infty, \quad x_i(t_k) \rightarrow u, \quad \text{and} \quad b_i(x_i(t_k)) + f_i(x_{t_k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- ▶ Let  $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$  a solution of (6), with  $\varphi \in BC_g$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} -v_i &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t), & u_i &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t) \\ v &= \max_i \{v_i\}, & u &= \max_i \{u_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-v \leq u$ . We have to show that  $\max(u, v) = 0$ .

- ▶ Suppose  $|v| \leq u$ . ( $|u| \leq v$  is similar)  
Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $u_i = u$ .
- ▶ By computations, we can show that exists  $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that
 
$$t_k \nearrow +\infty, \quad x_i(t_k) \rightarrow u, \quad \text{and} \quad b_i(x_i(t_k)) + f_i(x_{t_k}) \rightarrow 0.$$
- ▶ As  $x(t)$  is bounded,  $\dot{x}(t)$  is also bounded, from Lemma A  $\{x_t : t \geq 0\}$  is precompact in  $UC_g$ . Then

$$\exists \phi \in UC_g : \quad x_{t_k} \rightarrow \phi \text{ on } UC_g,$$

with  $\|\phi\|_g = \phi_i(0) = u$  and  $b_i(\phi_i(0)) + f_i(\phi) = 0$ .

Then  $u = 0$ .  $\square$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global exponential stability

- Consider in  $UC_g$ , with  $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global exponential stability

- Consider in  $UC_g$ , with  $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

- **(A0)**  $\underline{a} := \inf \{a_i(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$ ;

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global exponential stability

- Consider in  $UC_g$ , with  $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

- **(A0)**  $\underline{a} := \inf\{a_i(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$ ;
- **(A1)**  $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$ :

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i$$



$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global exponential stability

- ▶ Consider in  $UC_g$ , with  $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

- ▶ **(A0)**  $\underline{a} := \inf\{a_i(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$ ;
- ▶ **(A1)**  $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$ :

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

- ▶ **(A2)**  $f_i$  is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant  $l_i$ .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

# Global exponential stability

- ▶ Consider in  $UC_g$ , with  $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

- ▶ **(A0)**  $\underline{a} := \inf \{a_i(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$ ;
- ▶ **(A1)**  $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$ :

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

- ▶ **(A2)**  $f_i$  is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant  $l_i$ .
- ▶ **Definition**

A equilibrium  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is said *global exponential stable* if there are  $\varepsilon, M > 0$  such that

$$\|x(t, 0, \varphi) - x^*\| \leq M e^{-\varepsilon t} \|\varphi - x^*\|_\infty, \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \varphi \in BC_g.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

## ► Theorem 2 (GES)

Assume **(A0)**, **(A1)**, and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally exponentially stable.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

## ► Theorem 2 (GES)

Assume **(A0)**, **(A1)**, and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally exponentially stable.

## ► Proof (idea)

We may assume  $x^* \equiv 0$ , i.e.  $b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0$ .

$\beta_i > l_i \Rightarrow \varepsilon - \underline{a}(\beta_i - l_i) < 0$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$

Let  $x(t, 0, \varphi)$  be a solution of (6) with  $\varphi \in BC_g$ .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

► **Theorem 2 (GES)**

Assume **(A0)**, **(A1)**, and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally exponentially stable.

► **Proof (idea)**

We may assume  $x^* \equiv 0$ , i.e.  $b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0$ .

$\beta_i > l_i \Rightarrow \varepsilon - \underline{a}(\beta_i - l_i) < 0$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$

Let  $x(t, 0, \varphi)$  be a solution of (6) with  $\varphi \in BC_g$ .

► The change of variables

$$z(t) = e^{\varepsilon t} x(t)$$

transforms (6) into

$$\dot{z}_i(t) = F_i(t, z_t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

where

$$F_i(t, \phi) = \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - a_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) e^{\varepsilon t} \left[ b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) \right]$$

- Let  $\phi \in BC_g$  such that  $|\phi(s)| < |\phi(0)|$ , for  $s \in (-\infty, 0)$ .  
Consider  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|\phi_i(0)| = |\phi(0)|$ .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

where

$$F_i(t, \phi) = \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - a_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) e^{\varepsilon t} \left[ b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) \right]$$

- ▶ Let  $\phi \in BC_g$  such that  $|\phi(s)| < |\phi(0)|$ , for  $s \in (-\infty, 0)$ . Consider  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|\phi_i(0)| = |\phi(0)|$ .
- ▶ If  $\phi_i(0) > 0$  ( $\phi_i(0) < 0$  is analogous)

From the hypotheses we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(t, \phi) &\leq \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - \underline{a} e^{\varepsilon t} [b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) - b_i(0) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) - f_i(0)] \\ &\leq \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - \underline{a} \left[ \beta_i \phi_i(0) - l_i \sup_{s \leq 0} e^{(\alpha - \varepsilon)s} |\phi(s)| \right] \\ &\leq \phi_i(0) [\varepsilon - \underline{a}(\beta_i - l_i)] < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  satisfies **(H)**

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

where

$$F_i(t, \phi) = \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - a_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) e^{\varepsilon t} \left[ b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) \right]$$

- ▶ Let  $\phi \in BC_g$  such that  $|\phi(s)| < |\phi(0)|$ , for  $s \in (-\infty, 0)$ . Consider  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|\phi_i(0)| = |\phi(0)|$ .
- ▶ If  $\phi_i(0) > 0$  ( $\phi_i(0) < 0$  is analogous)

From the hypotheses we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(t, \phi) &\leq \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - \underline{a} e^{\varepsilon t} [b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) - b_i(0) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) - f_i(0)] \\ &\leq \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - \underline{a} \left[ \beta_i \phi_i(0) - l_i \sup_{s \leq 0} e^{(\alpha - \varepsilon)s} |\phi(s)| \right] \\ &\leq \phi_i(0) [\varepsilon - \underline{a}(\beta_i - l_i)] < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  satisfies **(H)**

- ▶ From Lemma B,  $z(t)$  is defined on  $[0, +\infty)$  and

$$|x(t, 0, \varphi)| = |e^{-\varepsilon t} z(t, 0, e^{\varepsilon \cdot} \varphi)| \leq e^{-\varepsilon t} \|\varphi\|_{\infty}.$$



# Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ , are continuous functions;

# Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- ▶  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ , are continuous functions;
- ▶  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous satisfying **(A1)**;

# Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- ▶  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ , are continuous functions;
- ▶  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous satisfying **(A1)**;
- ▶  $f_{ij}^{(p)}, g_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Lipschitzian with constant  $\mu_{ij}^{(p)}, \sigma_{ij}^{(p)}$ ;

# Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- ▶  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ , are continuous functions;
- ▶  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous satisfying **(A1)**;
- ▶  $f_{ij}^{(p)}, g_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Lipschitzian with constant  $\mu_{ij}^{(p)}, \sigma_{ij}^{(p)}$ ;
- ▶  $\eta_{ij}^{(p)} : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are non-decreasing bounded normalized functions so that

$$\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(0) - \eta_{ij}^{(p)}(-\infty) = 1;$$

# Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- ▶  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ , are continuous functions;
- ▶  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous satisfying **(A1)**;
- ▶  $f_{ij}^{(p)}, g_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Lipschitzian with constant  $\mu_{ij}^{(p)}, \sigma_{ij}^{(p)}$ ;
- ▶  $\eta_{ij}^{(p)} : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are non-decreasing bounded normalized functions so that

$$\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(0) - \eta_{ij}^{(p)}(-\infty) = 1;$$

- ▶  $N := \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) - [l_{ij}]$ , where  $l_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^P \mu_{ij}^{(p)} \sigma_{ij}^{(p)}$ .

### ► Theorem 3

**(a)** If  $N$  is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (10), which is globally asymptotically stable.

**(b)** Assume, in addition, that  $a_i$  satisfy **(A0)** and there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that each  $\eta_{ij}^{(p)}$  satisfies

$$\exists \gamma > 0 : \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\gamma s} d\eta_{ij}^{(p)} < \infty.$$

If  $N$  is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (10), which is globally exponentially stable.

### ► Theorem 3

(a) If  $N$  is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (10), which is globally asymptotically stable.

(b) Assume, in addition, that  $a_i$  satisfy **(A0)** and there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that each  $\eta_{ij}^{(p)}$  satisfies

$$\exists \gamma > 0 : \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\gamma s} d\eta_{ij}^{(p)} < \infty.$$

If  $N$  is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (10), which is globally exponentially stable.

- $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a **non-singular M-matrix** if  $a_{ij} \leq 0$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) > 0$ .

► **Proof of (a)** (idea)

$N$  non-singular  $M$ -matrix  $\Rightarrow \exists d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) > 0: Nd > 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ :

$$\beta_i > d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (11)$$



► **Proof of (a)** (idea)

$N$  non-singular  $M$ -matrix  $\Rightarrow \exists d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) > 0: Nd > 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ :

$$\beta_i > d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (11)$$

- By a technical Lemma, we can find  $g : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  satisfying **(g1)**-**(g3)** such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 g(s) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) < 1 + \delta,$$

and we consider  $UC_g$  as the phase space of (10).

- The change of variables

$$y_i(t) = d_i^{-1}x_i(t)$$

transforms (10) into

$$\dot{y}_i(t) = -\bar{a}_i(y_i(t)) [\bar{b}_i(y_i(t)) + \bar{f}_i(y_t)], \quad (12)$$

where

$$\bar{f}_i(\phi) = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(d_j \phi_j(s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right), \phi \in UC_g$$

$$\bar{b}_i(u) = d_i^{-1} b_i(d_i(u)), \quad \bar{a}_i = a_i(d_i(u)), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- After some computations,

$$|\bar{f}_i(\phi) - \bar{f}_i(\psi)| \leq \left( d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j \right) \|\phi - \psi\|_g, \quad \phi, \psi \in UC_g,$$

then  $\bar{f}_i$  is Lipschitz with constant  $l_i = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j$

- After some computations,

$$|\bar{f}_i(\phi) - \bar{f}_i(\psi)| \leq \left( d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j \right) \|\phi - \psi\|_g, \quad \phi, \psi \in UC_g,$$

then  $\bar{f}_i$  is Lipschitz with constant  $l_i = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j$

- Once  $\bar{b}_i$  satisfies **(A1)** with  $\bar{\beta}_i = \beta_i$  and  $\beta_i > l_i$ , thus the result follows from (11) and Theorem 1.  $\square$

- After some computations,

$$|\bar{f}_i(\phi) - \bar{f}_i(\psi)| \leq \left( d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j \right) \|\phi - \psi\|_g, \quad \phi, \psi \in UC_g,$$

then  $\bar{f}_i$  is Lipschitz with constant  $l_i = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j$

- Once  $\bar{b}_i$  satisfies **(A1)** with  $\bar{\beta}_i = \beta_i$  and  $\beta_i > l_i$ , thus the result follows from (11) and Theorem 1.  $\square$
- The global exponential stability follows in the same way, considering  $UC_g$  with  $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$ , for some  $\alpha \in (0, \gamma)$ , such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\alpha s} d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) < 1 + \delta.$$

# Example

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded distributed delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) x_j(t+s) ds \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

- $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions and **(A1)** holds;

## Example

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded distributed delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) x_j(t+s) ds \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

- ▶  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions and **(A1)** holds;
- ▶  $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant  $\mu_j$ ;

## Example

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded distributed delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) x_j(t+s) ds \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

- ▶  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions and **(A1)** holds;
- ▶  $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant  $\mu_j$ ;
- ▶ The delay kernel functions  $k_{ij} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  satisfy

$$\int_0^{+\infty} k_{ij}(t) dt = 1;$$



## Example

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded distributed delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[ b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) x_j(t+s) ds \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

- ▶  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ ,  $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions and **(A1)** holds;
- ▶  $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant  $\mu_j$ ;
- ▶ The delay kernel functions  $k_{ij} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  satisfy

$$\int_0^{+\infty} k_{ij}(t) dt = 1;$$

- ▶  $N := \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) - [l_{ij}]$ , where  $l_{ij} = |a_{ij}| \mu_j$ .

► **Corollary(GAS)**

If  $N$  is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (13), which is globally asymptotically stable.



► **Corollary(GAS)**

If  $N$  is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (13), which is globally asymptotically stable.

► **Proof** (idea)

System (10) reduces to (13) if  $P = 1$ ,  $f_{ij}^{(1)}(u) = a_{ij}f_j(u)$  and

$$\eta_{ij}^{(1)}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^s k_{ij}(-\zeta) d\zeta,$$

then the result follows from Theorem 3 (a).

► In [1] assumed the additional conditions:

$f_j$  is bounded;  $0 < \underline{a}_i \leq a_i(u) \leq \bar{a}_i$ ;

The kernels functions satisfy  $\int_0^\infty tk_{ij}(t)dt < \infty$

$\underline{N} := \underline{B}\underline{A} - \bar{A}[I_{ij}]$  is a non-singular M-matrix, where

$\underline{A} = \text{diag}(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n)$ ,  $\bar{A} = \text{diag}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ .

► **Corollary(GES)**

Assume **(A0)** and that there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} k_{ij}(t)e^{\gamma t} dt < \infty.$$

If  $N$  is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (13), which is globally exponentially stable.

**Proof** Analogous to the previous corollary



Thank you