

Estabilidade global em modelos de redes neuronais com atrasos infinitos

José J. Oliveira^a and Teresa Faria^b

May 18, 2011

^aDepartamento de Matemática e Aplicações, CMAT,
Universidade do Minho

Estabilidade global em modelos de redes neuronais com atrasos infinitos

José J. Oliveira^a and Teresa Faria^b

May 18, 2011

^bDepartamento de Matemática, CMAF,
Universidade de Lisboa

Neural Network Models

*Pioneer Models:

- Cohen-Grossberg (1983)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)) \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

- Hopfield (1984)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

where

a_i amplification functions; b_i controller functions;
 f_j activation functions; $C = [c_{ij}]$ conection matrix.

*Neural Network Models with **infinite** time-delay:

- ▶ Cohen-Grossberg type model (2007)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) g_j(x_j(t+s)) ds \right)$$

- ▶ Interval cellular neural network model (2009)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}f_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \int_{-\infty}^0 g_j(x_j(t+s))d\eta_{lj}(s) \quad (4)$$

- Bidirectional associative memory neural network model (2008)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left(b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^m f_{ij}(y_j(t - \tau_{ij})) \right), i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \dot{y}_j(t) = -d_j(y_j(t)) \left(c_j(y_j(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^n m_{ji} \int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ji}(-s) g_{ji}(x_i(t + s)) ds \right), j = 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

*General Neural Network Model with infinite time-delay

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t))[b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)], \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (6)$$

where, for $t \geq 0$,

$$x_t(s) = x(t+s), \text{ for } s \leq 0, \text{ i.e., } x_t = x|_{(-\infty, t]}.$$

*Initial Condition

$$x_0 = \varphi, \quad \varphi \in BC \quad (7)$$

where $BC := \{\varphi \in C((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) : \varphi \text{ is bounded}\}$

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty = \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$$

*Phase Space “admissible fading memory space”

$$UC_g = \left\{ \phi \in C((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{s \leq 0} \frac{|\phi(s)|}{g(s)} < \infty, \frac{\phi(s)}{g(s)} \text{ unif. cont.} \right\},$$

$$\|\phi\|_g = \sup_{s \leq 0} \frac{|\phi(s)|}{g(s)} \text{ with } |x| = |(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$$

where:

(g1) $g : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ non-increasing, continuous, $g(0) = 1$;

(g2) $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{g(s+u)}{g(s)} = 1$ uniformly on $(-\infty, 0]$;

(g3) $g(s) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$.

Example: $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$, $s \in (-\infty, 0]$, with $\alpha > 0$

BC_g subspace of bounded continuous functions, BC , equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_g$.

► Lemma B

Assume that f transforms closed bounded sets of $(-\infty, 0] \times UC_g$ into bounded sets of \mathbb{R}^n .

If

(H) $\forall t > 0, \forall \varphi \in BC_g$:

$$\forall s \in (-\infty, 0), |\varphi(s)| < |\varphi(0)| \Rightarrow \varphi_i(0) f_i(t, \varphi) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\varphi(s)| = |\varphi_i(0)|$,

then the solution $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$, $\varphi \in BC_g$, of (8) is defined and bounded on $[0, +\infty)$ and

$$|x(t, 0, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t)$$

*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC_g$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t)$$

*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC_g$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.
- ▶ Suppose that $|x(t_1)| > k$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC_g$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.
- ▶ Suppose that $|x(t_1)| > k$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$, for $s < 0$.
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T)f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$. If $x_i(T) > 0$ (analogous if $x_i(T) < 0$), then $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$.

*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC_g$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.
- ▶ Suppose that $|x(t_1)| > k$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$, for $s < 0$.
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T)f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$. If $x_i(T) > 0$ (analogous if $x_i(T) < 0$), then $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$.

- ▶ $x_i(t) \leq |x(t)| < |x(T)| = x_i(T)$, $t \in [0, T)$,

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x}_i(T) \geq 0.$$

*Proof of Lemma B (idea)

- ▶ $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ solution on $[-\infty, a)$, $a > 0$, with $\varphi \in BC_g$
 $k := \sup_{s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|$.
- ▶ Suppose that $|x(t_1)| > k$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and define

$$T = \min \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] : |x(t)| = \max_{s \in [0, t_1]} |x(s)| \right\}.$$

- ▶ We have $|x_T(s)| = |x(T + s)| < |x(T)|$, for $s < 0$.
By **(H)** we conclude that,

$$x_i(T) f_i(T, x_T) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x_i(T)| = |x(T)|$. If $x_i(T) > 0$ (analogous if $x_i(T) < 0$), then $\dot{x}_i(T) < 0$.

- ▶ $x_i(t) \leq |x(t)| < |x(T)| = x_i(T)$, $t \in [0, T)$,

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x}_i(T) \geq 0.$$

- ▶ Contradiction. Thus $x(t)$ is defined and bounded on $[0, +\infty)$.

► Lemma B'

Assume that f transforms closed bounded sets $(-\infty, 0] \times UC_g$ into bounded sets of \mathbb{R}^n .

If

(H') $\forall t > 0, \forall \varphi \in UC_g$:

$$\forall s \in (-\infty, 0), \frac{|\varphi(s)|}{g(s)} < |\varphi(0)| \Rightarrow \varphi_i(0)f_i(t, \varphi) < 0,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\varphi(s)| = |\varphi_i(0)|$,

then the solution $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$, $\varphi \in UC_g$, of (8) is defined and bounded on $[0, +\infty)$ and

$$|x(t, 0, \varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|_g.$$

► Remark: (H') \Rightarrow (H), and $\|\varphi\|_g \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global asymptotic stability

- Consider in UC_g ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

where $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_i : UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global asymptotic stability

- Consider in UC_g ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

where $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_i : UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$.]

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global asymptotic stability

- Consider in UC_g ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

where $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_i : UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$.]

- **(A2)** f_i is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant l_i .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global asymptotic stability

- Consider in UC_g ,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

where $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_i : UC_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that

- **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

[In particular, for $b_i(u) = \beta_i u$.]

- **(A2)** f_i is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant l_i .
- **Definition**

A equilibrium $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said *global asymptotic stable* if it is stable and

$$x(t, 0, \varphi) \rightarrow x^* \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } \varphi \in BC_g.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

► **Theorem 1 (GAS)**

Assume **(A1)** and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally asymptotically stable.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

► **Theorem 1 (GAS)**

Assume **(A1)** and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally asymptotically stable.

► **Proof (idea)**

Existence and uniqueness of equilibrium point

$$\begin{aligned} H : \mathbb{R}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ x &\mapsto (b_i(x_i) + f_i(x))_{i=1}^n \end{aligned}$$

is homeomorphism.

Then there exists $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $H(x^*) = 0$, i.e. x^* is the equilibrium.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- By translation, we may assume that $x^* = 0$, i.e.,

$$b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- By translation, we may assume that $x^* = 0$, i.e.,

$$b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

- For $\varphi \in UC_g$ such that $\|\varphi\|_g = |\varphi(0)| = \varphi_i(0) > 0$
(analogous if $\varphi_i(0) < 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} b_i(\varphi_i(0)) + f_i(\varphi) &= [b_i(\varphi_i(0)) - b_i(0)] + [f_i(\varphi) - f_i(0)] \\ &\geq (\beta_i - l_i) \|\varphi\|_g > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(H') holds and from Lemma B' we conclude

- $x = 0$ is uniform stable
- all solutions are defined and bounded on $[0, +\infty)$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- By translation, we may assume that $x^* = 0$, i.e.,

$$b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

- For $\varphi \in UC_g$ such that $\|\varphi\|_g = |\varphi(0)| = \varphi_i(0) > 0$ (analogous if $\varphi_i(0) < 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} b_i(\varphi_i(0)) + f_i(\varphi) &= [b_i(\varphi_i(0)) - b_i(0)] + [f_i(\varphi) - f_i(0)] \\ &\geq (\beta_i - l_i) \|\varphi\|_g > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(H') holds and from Lemma B' we conclude

- $x = 0$ is uniform stable
- all solutions are defined and bounded on $[0, +\infty)$
- It remains to prove that $x = 0$ is global attractive.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- Let $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ a solution of (6), with $\varphi \in BC_g$, and define

$$-v_i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t), \quad u_i = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t)$$

$$v = \max_i \{v_i\}, \quad u = \max_i \{u_i\},$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, $-v \leq u$. We have to show that $\max(u, v) = 0$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- Let $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ a solution of (6), with $\varphi \in BC_g$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} -v_i &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t), & u_i &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t) \\ v &= \max_i \{v_i\}, & u &= \max_i \{u_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, $-v \leq u$. We have to show that $\max(u, v) = 0$.

- Suppose $|v| \leq u$. ($|u| \leq v$ is similar)
Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $u_i = u$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- ▶ Let $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ a solution of (6), with $\varphi \in BC_g$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} -v_i &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t), & u_i &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t) \\ v &= \max_i \{v_i\}, & u &= \max_i \{u_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, $-v \leq u$. We have to show that $\max(u, v) = 0$.

- ▶ Suppose $|v| \leq u$. ($|u| \leq v$ is similar)
Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $u_i = u$.
- ▶ By computations, we can show that exists $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$t_k \nearrow +\infty, \quad x_i(t_k) \rightarrow u, \quad \text{and} \quad b_i(x_i(t_k)) + f_i(x_{t_k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

- ▶ Let $x(t) = x(t, 0, \varphi)$ a solution of (6), with $\varphi \in BC_g$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} -v_i &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t), & u_i &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(t) \\ v &= \max_i \{v_i\}, & u &= \max_i \{u_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

$u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, $-v \leq u$. We have to show that $\max(u, v) = 0$.

- ▶ Suppose $|v| \leq u$. ($|u| \leq v$ is similar)
Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $u_i = u$.
- ▶ By computations, we can show that exists $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$t_k \nearrow +\infty, \quad x_i(t_k) \rightarrow u, \quad \text{and} \quad b_i(x_i(t_k)) + f_i(x_{t_k}) \rightarrow 0.$$
- ▶ As $x(t)$ is bounded, $\dot{x}(t)$ is also bounded, from Lemma A $\{x_t : t \geq 0\}$ is precompact in UC_g . Then

$$\exists \phi \in UC_g : \quad x_{t_k} \rightarrow \phi \text{ on } UC_g,$$

with $\|\phi\|_g = \phi_i(0) = u$ and $b_i(\phi_i(0)) + f_i(\phi) = 0$.

Then $u = 0$. \square

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global exponential stability

- Consider in UC_g , with $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$ for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global exponential stability

- Consider in UC_g , with $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$ for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

- **(A0)** $\underline{a} := \inf \{a_i(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$;

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global exponential stability

- Consider in UC_g , with $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$ for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

- **(A0)** $\underline{a} := \inf\{a_i(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$;
- **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global exponential stability

- ▶ Consider in UC_g , with $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$ for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

- ▶ **(A0)** $\underline{a} := \inf\{a_i(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$;
- ▶ **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

- ▶ **(A2)** f_i is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant l_i .

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

Global exponential stability

- ▶ Consider in UC_g , with $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$ for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) [b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t)] \quad (6)$$

Assume:

- ▶ **(A0)** $\underline{a} := \inf \{a_i(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} > 0$;
- ▶ **(A1)** $\exists \beta_i > 0, \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v$:

$$(b_i(u) - b_i(v)) / (u - v) \geq \beta_i;$$

- ▶ **(A2)** f_i is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant l_i .
- ▶ **Definition**

A equilibrium $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said *global exponential stable* if there are $\varepsilon, M > 0$ such that

$$\|x(t, 0, \varphi) - x^*\| \leq M e^{-\varepsilon t} \|\varphi - x^*\|_\infty, \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \varphi \in BC_g.$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

► Theorem 2 (GES)

Assume **(A0)**, **(A1)**, and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally exponentially stable.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

► Theorem 2 (GES)

Assume **(A0)**, **(A1)**, and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally exponentially stable.

► Proof (idea)

We may assume $x^* \equiv 0$, i.e. $b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0$.

$\beta_i > l_i \Rightarrow \varepsilon - \underline{a}(\beta_i - l_i) < 0$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$

Let $x(t, 0, \varphi)$ be a solution of (6) with $\varphi \in BC_g$.

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

► **Theorem 2 (GES)**

Assume **(A0)**, **(A1)**, and **(A2)**. If

$$\beta_i > l_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there is a unique equilibrium point of (6), which is globally exponentially stable.

► **Proof (idea)**

We may assume $x^* \equiv 0$, i.e. $b_i(0) + f_i(0) = 0$.

$\beta_i > l_i \Rightarrow \varepsilon - \underline{a}(\beta_i - l_i) < 0$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$

Let $x(t, 0, \varphi)$ be a solution of (6) with $\varphi \in BC_g$.

► The change of variables

$$z(t) = e^{\varepsilon t} x(t)$$

transforms (6) into

$$\dot{z}_i(t) = F_i(t, z_t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

where

$$F_i(t, \phi) = \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - a_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) e^{\varepsilon t} \left[b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) \right]$$

- Let $\phi \in BC_g$ such that $|\phi(s)| < |\phi(0)|$, for $s \in (-\infty, 0)$.
Consider $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\phi_i(0)| = |\phi(0)|$.

$$\dot{x}_j(t) = -a_j(x_j(t)) (b_j(x_j(t)) + f_j(x_t))$$

where

$$F_i(t, \phi) = \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - a_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) e^{\varepsilon t} \left[b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) \right]$$

- ▶ Let $\phi \in BC_g$ such that $|\phi(s)| < |\phi(0)|$, for $s \in (-\infty, 0)$.
Consider $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\phi_i(0)| = |\phi(0)|$.
- ▶ If $\phi_i(0) > 0$ ($\phi_i(0) < 0$ is analogous)

From the hypotheses we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(t, \phi) &\leq \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - \underline{a} e^{\varepsilon t} [b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) - b_i(0) + \\ &\quad + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) - f_i(0)] \\ &\leq \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - \underline{a} \left[\beta_i \phi_i(0) - l_i \sup_{s \leq 0} e^{(\alpha - \varepsilon)s} |\phi(s)| \right] \\ &\leq \phi_i(0) [\varepsilon - \underline{a}(\beta_i - l_i)] < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ satisfies **(H)**

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) (b_i(x_i(t)) + f_i(x_t))$$

where

$$F_i(t, \phi) = \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - a_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) e^{\varepsilon t} \left[b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) \right]$$

- ▶ Let $\phi \in BC_g$ such that $|\phi(s)| < |\phi(0)|$, for $s \in (-\infty, 0)$. Consider $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\phi_i(0)| = |\phi(0)|$.
- ▶ If $\phi_i(0) > 0$ ($\phi_i(0) < 0$ is analogous)

From the hypotheses we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(t, \phi) &\leq \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - \underline{a} e^{\varepsilon t} [b_i(e^{-\varepsilon t} \phi_i(0)) - b_i(0) + f_i(e^{-\varepsilon(t+\cdot)} \phi) - f_i(0)] \\ &\leq \varepsilon \phi_i(0) - \underline{a} \left[\beta_i \phi_i(0) - l_i \sup_{s \leq 0} e^{(\alpha - \varepsilon)s} |\phi(s)| \right] \\ &\leq \phi_i(0) [\varepsilon - \underline{a}(\beta_i - l_i)] < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ satisfies **(H)**

- ▶ From Lemma B, $z(t)$ is defined on $[0, +\infty)$ and

$$|x(t, 0, \varphi)| = |e^{-\varepsilon t} z(t, 0, e^{\varepsilon \cdot} \varphi)| \leq e^{-\varepsilon t} \|\varphi\|_{\infty}.$$

Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, are continuous functions;

Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- ▶ $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, are continuous functions;
- ▶ $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous satisfying **(A1)**;

Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- ▶ $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, are continuous functions;
- ▶ $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous satisfying **(A1)**;
- ▶ $f_{ij}^{(p)}, g_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitzian with constant $\mu_{ij}^{(p)}, \sigma_{ij}^{(p)}$;

Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- ▶ $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, are continuous functions;
- ▶ $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous satisfying **(A1)**;
- ▶ $f_{ij}^{(p)}, g_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitzian with constant $\mu_{ij}^{(p)}, \sigma_{ij}^{(p)}$;
- ▶ $\eta_{ij}^{(p)} : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are non-decreasing bounded normalized functions so that

$$\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(0) - \eta_{ij}^{(p)}(-\infty) = 1;$$

Generalized Cohen-Grossberg Model

Cohen-Grossberg model with unbounded distributed delays (10)

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(x_j(t+s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

- ▶ $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, are continuous functions;
- ▶ $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous satisfying **(A1)**;
- ▶ $f_{ij}^{(p)}, g_{ij}^{(p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitzian with constant $\mu_{ij}^{(p)}, \sigma_{ij}^{(p)}$;
- ▶ $\eta_{ij}^{(p)} : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are non-decreasing bounded normalized functions so that

$$\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(0) - \eta_{ij}^{(p)}(-\infty) = 1;$$

- ▶ $N := \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) - [l_{ij}]$, where $l_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^P \mu_{ij}^{(p)} \sigma_{ij}^{(p)}$.

► Theorem 3

(a) If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (10), which is globally asymptotically stable.

(b) Assume, in addition, that a_i satisfy **(A0)** and there is $\gamma > 0$ such that each $\eta_{ij}^{(p)}$ satisfies

$$\exists \gamma > 0 : \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\gamma s} d\eta_{ij}^{(p)} < \infty.$$

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (10), which is globally exponentially stable.

► Theorem 3

(a) If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (10), which is globally asymptotically stable.

(b) Assume, in addition, that a_i satisfy **(A0)** and there is $\gamma > 0$ such that each $\eta_{ij}^{(p)}$ satisfies

$$\exists \gamma > 0 : \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\gamma s} d\eta_{ij}^{(p)} < \infty.$$

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (10), which is globally exponentially stable.

- $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a **non-singular M-matrix** if $a_{ij} \leq 0$, $i \neq j$ and $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) > 0$.

► **Proof of (a)** (idea)

N non-singular M-matrix $\Rightarrow \exists d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) > 0: Nd > 0$
 $\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$:

$$\beta_i > d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (11)$$

► **Proof of (a)** (idea)

N non-singular M -matrix $\Rightarrow \exists d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) > 0: Nd > 0$
 $\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$:

$$\beta_i > d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (11)$$

- By a technical Lemma, we can find $g : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ satisfying **(g1)**-**(g3)** such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 g(s) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) < 1 + \delta,$$

and we consider UC_g as the phase space of (10).

- The change of variables

$$y_i(t) = d_i^{-1}x_i(t)$$

transforms (10) into

$$\dot{y}_i(t) = -\bar{a}_i(y_i(t)) [\bar{b}_i(y_i(t)) + \bar{f}_i(y_t)], \quad (12)$$

where

$$\bar{f}_i(\phi) = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^P f_{ij}^{(p)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 g_{ij}^{(p)}(d_j \phi_j(s)) d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) \right), \phi \in UC_g$$

$$\bar{b}_i(u) = d_i^{-1} b_i(d_i(u)), \quad \bar{a}_i = a_i(d_i(u)), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- After some computations,

$$|\bar{f}_i(\phi) - \bar{f}_i(\psi)| \leq \left(d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j \right) \|\phi - \psi\|_g, \quad \phi, \psi \in UC_g,$$

then \bar{f}_i is Lipschitz with constant $l_i = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j$

- After some computations,

$$|\bar{f}_i(\phi) - \bar{f}_i(\psi)| \leq \left(d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j \right) \|\phi - \psi\|_g, \quad \phi, \psi \in UC_g,$$

then \bar{f}_i is Lipschitz with constant $l_i = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta)d_j$

- Once \bar{b}_i satisfies **(A1)** with $\bar{\beta}_i = \beta_i$ and $\beta_i > l_i$, thus the result follows from (11) and Theorem 1. \square

- After some computations,

$$|\bar{f}_i(\phi) - \bar{f}_i(\psi)| \leq \left(d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta) d_j \right) \|\phi - \psi\|_g, \quad \phi, \psi \in UC_g,$$

then \bar{f}_i is Lipschitz with constant $l_i = d_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}(1 + \delta) d_j$

- Once \bar{b}_i satisfies **(A1)** with $\bar{\beta}_i = \beta_i$ and $\beta_i > l_i$, thus the result follows from (11) and Theorem 1. \square
- The global exponential stability follows in the same way, considering UC_g with $g(s) = e^{-\alpha s}$, for some $\alpha \in (0, \gamma)$, such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\alpha s} d\eta_{ij}^{(p)}(s) < 1 + \delta.$$

Example

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded distributed delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) x_j(t+s) ds \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

- $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and **(A1)** holds;

Example

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded distributed delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) x_j(t+s) ds \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

- ▶ $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and **(A1)** holds;
- ▶ $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant μ_j ;

Example

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded distributed delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) x_j(t+s) ds \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

- ▶ $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and **(A1)** holds;
- ▶ $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant μ_j ;
- ▶ The delay kernel functions $k_{ij} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ satisfy

$$\int_0^{+\infty} k_{ij}(t) dt = 1;$$

Example

Cohen-Grossberg neural network with unbounded distributed delays

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -a_i(x_i(t)) \left[b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 k_{ij}(-s) x_j(t+s) ds \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

- ▶ $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and **(A1)** holds;
- ▶ $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant μ_j ;
- ▶ The delay kernel functions $k_{ij} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ satisfy

$$\int_0^{+\infty} k_{ij}(t) dt = 1;$$

- ▶ $N := \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) - [l_{ij}]$, where $l_{ij} = |a_{ij}| \mu_j$.

► **Corollary(GAS)**

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (13), which is globally asymptotically stable.

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (13), which is globally asymptotically stable.

System (10) reduces to (13) if $P = 1$, $f_{ij}^{(1)}(u) = a_{ij}f_j(u)$ and

$$\eta_{ij}^{(1)}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^s k_{ij}(-\zeta) d\zeta,$$

then the result follows from Theorem 3 (a).

► **Corollary(GAS)**

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (13), which is globally asymptotically stable.

► **Proof** (idea)

System (10) reduces to (13) if $P = 1$, $f_{ij}^{(1)}(u) = a_{ij}f_j(u)$ and

$$\eta_{ij}^{(1)}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^s k_{ij}(-\zeta) d\zeta,$$

then the result follows from Theorem 3 (a).

► In [1] assumed the additional conditions:

f_j is bounded; $0 < \underline{a}_i \leq a_i(u) \leq \bar{a}_i$;

The kernels functions satisfy $\int_0^\infty tk_{ij}(t)dt < \infty$

$\underline{N} := \underline{B}\underline{A} - \bar{A}[I_{ij}]$ is a non-singular M-matrix, where

$\underline{A} = \text{diag}(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n)$, $\bar{A} = \text{diag}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$.

► **Corollary(GES)**

Assume **(A0)** and that there is $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} k_{ij}(t)e^{\gamma t} dt < \infty.$$

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (13), which is globally exponentially stable.

Proof Analogous to the previous corollary

► **Corollary(GES)**

Assume **(A0)** and that there is $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} k_{ij}(t)e^{\gamma t} dt < \infty.$$

If N is a non-singular M-matrix, then there is a unique equilibrium point of (13), which is globally exponentially stable.

Proof Analogous to the previous corollary

► In [2] assumed the additional conditions:

$$0 < \underline{a}_i \leq a_i(u) \leq \bar{a}_i;$$

$\underline{N} := B\underline{A} - \bar{A}[I_{ij}]$ is a non-singular M-matrix, where
 $\underline{A} = \text{diag}(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n)$, $\bar{A} = \text{diag}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$.

[2] W. Wu, B.T. Cui, X.Y. Lou, Math. Comput. Modelling, 47 (2008) 868-873.

Thank you