

Simple proofs of strong cut elimination

J. Espírito Santo

Dep. de Matemática
Universidade do Minho

TYPES '06

Nottingham, 18-21 April 2006

Goal

1. logic: intuitionistic implication \supset

$$2. SCE = SN + \epsilon$$

3. More precisely:

$$SN \text{ for } \lambda^G = (\text{properties of } \lambda) + \epsilon$$

where

$$\lambda^G = \text{neat } \lambda\text{-calculus for sequent calculus}$$

$$\epsilon = \text{small combinatorial overhead}$$

4. Plan:

(a) indicate properties of λ

(b) advertise λ^G

(c) do ϵ

Properties of λ

1. Strong normalisation

$$M \text{ typable} \Rightarrow M \in SN_\beta.$$

2. Fundamental lemma of perpetuality

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (\lambda x.M)N \notin SN_\beta \\ x \notin FV(M) \Rightarrow N \in SN_\beta \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow [N/x]M \notin SN_\beta$$

Stronger version of 2.

$$x \in FV(M) \Rightarrow \|(\lambda x.M)N\|_\beta \leq \|[N/x]M\|_\beta + 1$$

$$x \notin FV(M) \Rightarrow \|(\lambda x.M)N\|_\beta \leq \|M\|_\beta + \|N\|_\beta + 1$$

Sequent calculus/ λ^G -calculus (1)

Expressions

(Terms) $t, u, v ::= x \mid \lambda x.t \mid tk$
(Contexts) $k ::= (x)v \mid u :: k$

Sequents $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma; A \vdash k : B$

Typing / Inference rules

$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A}$ *Axiom* $\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B}{\Gamma; A \vdash (x)v : B}$ *Selection*

$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t : A \supset B}$ *Right*

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash u : A \quad \Gamma; B \vdash k : C}{\Gamma; A \supset B \vdash u :: k : C}$ *Left*

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma; A \vdash k : B}{\Gamma \vdash tk : B}$ *Cut*

Sequent calculus/ λ^G -calculus (2)

Cuts: $tk = t(u_1 :: \dots :: (u_m :: (x)v))$, $m \geq 0$

$m = 1$ $t(u :: (x)v)$ generalized application
 $m = 0$ $t(x)v$ “explicit” substitution

N.B. $t(x)v$ is written $\langle t/x \rangle v$

Reduction rules

(σ) $\langle t/x \rangle v \rightarrow \text{subst}(t, x, v)$
(π) $(tk)k' \rightarrow t \text{ append}(k, k')$
(β) $(\lambda x.t)(u :: k) \rightarrow \langle u/x \rangle(tk)$

Logical reading (cut elimination)

(σ) right permutation
(π) left permutation
(β) key step

Doing ϵ (1)

First step: reduce SN for λ^G to SN for a version of λ_x

1. Recall the terms of λ_x :

$$M, N, P ::= x \mid \lambda x.M \mid MN \mid \langle N/x \rangle M$$

2. Equip this set with

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta) \quad & (\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow \langle N/x \rangle M \\ (\sigma) \quad & \langle N/x \rangle M \rightarrow [N/x]M \\ (\pi_1) \quad & (\langle P/x \rangle M)N \rightarrow \langle P/x \rangle (MN) \\ (\pi_2) \quad & \langle \langle P/y \rangle N/x \rangle M \rightarrow \langle P/y \rangle \langle N/x \rangle M \end{aligned}$$

and put $\pi = \pi_1 \cup \pi_2$

3. Define $Q : \lambda^G \rightarrow \lambda_x$ as follows:

$$t(u_1 :: \dots :: u_m(x)v) \mapsto \langle MN_1 \dots N_m/x \rangle P$$

4. SN for $\lambda_x \Rightarrow$ SN for λ^G

Doing ϵ (2)

Second step: enrich λ

1. Equip the set of λ -terms with

$$(\pi_1) \quad (\lambda x.M)NP \rightarrow (\lambda x.MP)N$$

$$(\pi_2) \quad M((\lambda y.P)N) \rightarrow (\lambda y.MP)N$$

and put $\pi = \pi_1 \cup \pi_2$

2. In λ , \rightarrow_π terminates

3. In λ , \rightarrow_π does not increase $\|-\|_\beta$

(fund. lemma perpetuality used here)

Doing ϵ (3)

Third step: conclude

1. Define $(-)^{\bullet} : \lambda_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \lambda$ by

$$(\langle N/x \rangle M)^{\bullet} = (\lambda x.M^{\bullet})N^{\bullet}$$

2. In $\lambda_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\rightarrow_{\beta\pi}$ terminates

3. For all $M \in \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}$, $M^{\bullet} \in SN_{\beta} \Rightarrow M \in SN_{\beta\pi\sigma}$

4. Corollary (SN for $\lambda_{\mathbf{x}}$):

$$\text{For all } M \in \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}, M \text{ typable} \Rightarrow M \in SN_{\beta\pi\sigma}$$

(SN for λ used here)

5. Theorem (SN for λ^G):

$$\text{For all } t \in \lambda^G, t \text{ typable} \Rightarrow t \in SN_{\beta\pi\sigma}$$

Conclusions

1. Consequences:

- (a) SN for variants of λ with generalised application
- (b) SN for LJ equipped with various “protocols”

2. Simple proof:

- (a) reuse a previous result instead of repeating a previous proof
- (b) substitution is suspended, but not explicitly executed
- (c) simple \Rightarrow stronger