A new interpretation of ID_1 in $ID_1(W)$

João Enes Universidade de Lisboa

Abstract

We consider the theories of (non-iterated) monotone inductive definitions ID_1 and $\mathsf{ID}_1(\mathsf{W})$. The first includes inductive definitions for every positive arithmetical operator, whereas the second is restricted to the inductive definition of the codes of well-founded recursive trees. We present a novel interpretation of the theory ID_1 in $\mathsf{ID}_1(\mathsf{W})$.

It is known from the work of Kreisel that these theories are proof-theoretic equivalent. However, unlike the interpretation of Kreisel, which is done via the intuitionistic conterparts ID_1^i and $ID_1^i(W)$, our interpretation is direct from ID_1 to $ID_1(W)$.

We would like to thank Fernando Ferreira for suggesting the possibility of the direct interpretation.

References

- S. Feferman and W. Sieg. Inductive definitions and subsystems of analysis. In Iterated inductive definitions and subsystems of analysis: recent proof-theoretical studies, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 897: 16-77. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1981.
- [2] J. Enes. Um estudo sobre teorias de definições indutivas e admissibilidade. Master's thesis, Universidade de Lisboa, 2013.